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Where I come from...

Health care work
Operating a ship or a vessel
Process and traffic control
Administrative work
Human-Computer Interaction

- Research & education since 1985
- Involving the users in ICT design/development
- Collaboration in research with industry & organizations
- Interdisciplinary: Technology/computer science + human sciences + design
What is Human Computer Interaction (HCI)?

- "Many would consider the field being about one person interacting with a computer with no connection to the outer world."

Human-computer interaction is a discipline concerned with the design, evaluation and implementation of interactive computing systems for human use and with the study of major phenomena surrounding them.

(ACM SIGCHI curricula for Human-Computer Interaction by by Hewett, Baecker, Card, Carey, Gasen, Mantei, Perlman, Strong and Verplank, 1992)
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…and converting that knowledge into concrete ideas of change...
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...Simulating the future work through storyboards and scenarios...
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...and to implement and evaluate the change together with the workers...

...according to a pre-defined process
“Know thy user...”

- Background (computer science, engineering, psychology, design, etc.)?
- Previous work experience?
- Year 1, 2, 3, 4+, ready?
- Freedom to select research topic yourself?
- Extent of user involvement

How would you want to be quoted in the future?

- Take a few minutes to specify how you would want your research to be quoted in the future.

- For example:
  
  NN showed that the user experience for users with aphasia became 7 times higher when a brain computer interface was applied (NN, 2009)
  
  The Incredible™ method was defined by NN to support eye movement input to maneuver a car (NN 2011)

- An example of warning:
  "lots of research has been done in this field (Gulliksen, 1996)"
What is your research question?

- Have you already arrived at a clear research question?

- So, does your research question fit the way you want to be quoted in the future???
When did you last change your research question?

- "On average I would say three weeks before publication of the thesis"
Why do you do research?

What is the purpose of doing a PhD?

- Get enough knowledge to be able to trust your ways of achieving your results
  - Learn to master a tool to help you to be able to tell what is the truth and what’s not
- Are you doing the research that is the most easy one to do right and to get published?
Are your motives reflected in your research question?

- Discuss with your neighbour for a few minutes...

What motivates me?

- Doing something that will make a difference to people.
- Social responsibility and sustainability
- Accessibility and Design-for-all
- Work environment problems and how to avoid them
- I enjoy thinking about difficult problems
What is your overall research objective?

I will give you three options...

1. You want to change the world?
2. You want to contribute new knowledge to the world?
3. You want a PhD?

Impact on research methodology
What makes HCI such a difficult research subject?

- Multidisciplinary – you are expected to have breadth and depth in all subjects.
- It is not getting answers that is the most problematic, it is asking the right questions?
- HCI often deals with wicked problems
- Don’t be tempted to select an easy research problem – rather go for an interesting or relevant problem
- HCI is (or should be) based on a set of values that will help you ask the right research questions

Let’s get some inspiration from Ben Shneiderman’s keynote at INTERACT 2007

Human Values for Shaping the Made World

Ben Shneiderman

Founding Director (1983-2000), Human-Computer Interaction Lab
Department of Computer Science & Institute for Advanced Computer Studies

University of Maryland
Interdisciplinary Challenges

- Modern problems are complex
- Solutions require multiple disciplines
- Laboratory studies have limited relevance
- Natural sciences are not sufficient

Science 1.0

- Reductionist
- Controlled Experiments
- Replicability
- Laboratory
- Individual
**Contextual Usability** – Jan Gulliksen

---

**Science 1.0** + **Science 2.0**

- Reductionist → Integrated
- Controlled Experiments → Case Studies
- Replicability → Validity
- Laboratory → Situated
- Individual → Collaboration

---

**Science 2.0 Emerges**

Interdisciplinary study of the made world

- Socially embedded

- Bringing closer together
  - theory & practice
  - basic & applied research

- New research directions (Emerson, Dewey, James, Vygotsky, Simon, Suchman, Nardi, ... and many of you!)
Science 2.0 Evaluation Methods

Ethnographic Observational Situated

- Multi-Dimensional
- In-depth
- Long-term
- Case studies

- Domain Experts & Communities Doing Their Own Work for Weeks & Months

Action research
Is it possible to do research when you have a stake in the results?

How do we deal with the fact that we might wish for a specific result to happen?
What is Action research?

- A method?
- A framework?
- A theoretical foundation?
- A philosophy?

...or all of the above???

Situatedness

- Lucy Suchman
- All activities are situated
- It is impossible to stick to a predefined plan

This is true also for research

“But yet we keep disguising the fact that research questions evolve and that research is adapted to dynamically changing outer constraints and irrational events and last but not least to people!”
Coining the concept of Action research

"a comparative research on the conditions and effects of various forms of social action and research leading to social action”

that uses

"a spiral of steps, each of which is composed of a circle of planning, action, and fact-finding about the result of the action”


---

The steps and processes involved in planned change through action research.

- Action research is depicted as a cyclical process of change.

Definition of Action research

AR aims to contribute to the practical concerns of people in an immediate problematic situation and to the goals of social science by joint collaboration within a mutually acceptable ethical framework.


"Action research is an interactive inquiry process that balances problem solving actions implemented in a collaborative context with data-driven collaborative analysis or research to understand underlying causes enabling future predictions about personal and organizational change”

Characteristics of Action research


Criticism to Action Research

"While supporters try to create a balanced picture of implications from the various research methodologies within AR, dissidents aim only at the fundamental difference from traditional methods, namely the intention to accomplish change through getting involved and the application of uncontrolled, unconstrained iterative processes where the actual change is the only valuable research finding" (Hodgkinson, 1957).

Elaboration on Action Research

An umbrella term for several different strategies that involve "activities intended to foster change on the group, organizational, and even societal levels" (Dickens & Watkins 1999, p. 127).


THE ACTION TURN

Toward a Transformational Social science

Action research challenges traditional social science, by moving beyond reflective knowledge created by outside experts sampling variables to an active moment-to-moment theorizing, data collecting, and inquiring occurring in the midst of emergent structure.

"Knowledge is always gained through action and for action. From this starting point, to question the validity of social knowledge is to question, not how to develop a reflective science about action, but how to develop genuinely well-informed action—how to conduct an action science".

What is knowledge?

- What kind of knowledge do you gain from AR projects?
- What is science?

- Reflective practitioner
- Donald A. Schön

Klein & Myers

7 Principles of interactive research

1. The Fundamental Principle of the Hermeneutic Circle
2. The Principle of Contextualization
3. The Principle of Interaction Between Researchers and the Subjects
4. The Principle of Abstraction and Generalization
5. The Principle of Dialogical Reasoning
6. The Principle of Multiple Interpretations
7. The Principle of Suspicion
Theory versus Practice

Much of the literature on AR seems to be concerned with the chasm in social science between theory and practice; between researcher and subject (Dickens & Watkins 1999, Rasmussen, 2004).


The process of research

**Action science**

Human actions are designed to achieve intended consequences and governed by a set of environment variables. How those governing variables are treated in designing actions are the key differences between **single loop learning** and **double loop learning**. When actions are designed to achieve the intended consequences and to suppress conflict about the governing variables, a single loop learning cycle usually ensues. On the other hand, when actions are taken, not only to achieve the intended consequences, but also to openly inquire about conflict and to possibly transform the governing variables, both single loop and double loop learning cycles usually ensue. (Argyris applies single loop and double loop learning concepts not only to personal behaviors but also to organizational behaviors in his models.)
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**Action science – double loop**

*From Ed Batista: Double-Loop Learning*

- **Underlying Assumptions**
- **Goals, Values & Strategies**
- **Results**

**Double-Loop Learning**

Expanding the analytical frame to explicitly identify and then challenge underlying assumptions.


---

**Case studies and experiences of Action Research**
What aspects of the cases are important?

- Researcher-practice cooperation (contract, power, funding, initiative)
- Conflicts in research agenda and the goal of the practice

Case: Swedish National Tax Board (RSV)

- 10 year longitudinal action research projects (1994-2004)
- Funding from different places: Arbetslivsfonden, Arbetsmiljöfonden, Nutek, Vinnova and RSV
- Continuous progression: Evaluation → Design → Methods → Processes → Attitudes
- Cooperation with both business side and IT side
- Extensive union cooperation
- Many success cases but also some gigantic failures
- Positive attitude towards research and researchers, at least on the middle management and user level
- In the end the most innovative public authority in Sweden
Case: CSN

- 4 year longitudinal Action research project (2004-2009)
- Funded by Utvecklingsrådet/Satsa Friskt
- Business development, Usability Index, Software development processes, Competence development
- Up to 8 researchers involved
- High ambitions with involvement all the way from GD to users

Case: CSN (Hello World)

- Background: Teaching HCI methods to software developers.
- Practical exercises in field studies, (design and evaluation)
Case: CSN (Hello World)

Data gathering:
- Research diary
- Participatory observation
- Survey after field studies
- Group feedback of field study survey
- Field study documentation
- Course evaluation
- Interviews
- Participation in project where field studies were used

Data analysis
- Immediate reflection and group discussion
- Oral presentation considering the feedback
- Transcribing interviews, finding themes, tagging, cutting up and resorting interview material

...but above all, the writing process is a very important process, rarely discussed in HCI, and never acknowledged as an important research tool.

...additionally making research a group process improves the quality tremendously.
Case: CSN (Hello World)

- **Problems:**
  - How can any generalizable knowledge be extracted from the cases?

Case: BV (Swedish companies registration office)

- **Project purpose** to increase health and improve the work environment
- **Local project leader** got burned out
- **They demanded** that we took over her role
- **Disaster and project aborted** – many people were really upset
- **Today they mention** the aborted project as a great success
Thoughts I hope I have managed to raise?

- Doing a PhD should not be a lifetime achievement, it is the end of a research education - it is better to practice all sorts of research methodologies than making a lifetime achievement.
- Don't go for the easy problems, go for the problems that you burn for and that may make a difference to a third party.
- Theories are not something you pick for the day, they are the lenses through which you see the world, so pick those theories that fit your basic values and prepare to argue why you have not selected the others.
- Don't forget the analysis work – a lot of it happens during the writing process.