



Advanced Formal Methods

Lecture 7: Isabelle – Sets

Mads Dam
KTH/CSC

Material from L. Paulson

Basic Constructions

types $'\alpha$ set = $'\alpha \rightarrow \text{bool}$

Note that HOL sets are always *typed*

Easy to define basic set constructions:

$\emptyset :: '\alpha \text{ set} = \lambda x. \text{false}$

$\{t\} = \lambda x. x = t$

$t \in A = A t$

$A \subseteq B = \forall x. A x \rightarrow B x$

$A \cup B = \lambda x. \neg(A x) \rightarrow B x$

$\forall x \in A. P x = \forall x. x \in A \rightarrow P x$

$\exists x \in A. P x = \exists x. x \in A \wedge P x$

Exercises

Exercise 1:

Represent in Isabelle the following set operations:

- $A \cap B$
- $\bigcap_{x \in A} B x, \bigcup_{x \in A} B x$
- `insert` :: $'\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ set} \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ set}$

Proof Rules

Prove lemmas following natural deduction-style introduction and elimination rules:

subsetI: $(\lambda x. x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B) \Rightarrow A \subseteq B$

subsetE: $[A \subseteq B; x \in A] \Rightarrow x \in B$

ballI: $(\lambda x. x \in A \Rightarrow P x) \Rightarrow \forall x \in A. P x$

ballE: $[\forall x \in A. P x ; x \in A] \Rightarrow P x$

etc. etc.

Finite Sets

Inductive definition:

- The empty set is finite
- Adding an element to a finite set produces a finite set
- These are the only finite sets

HOL encoding:

consts Fin :: $'\alpha \text{ set set}$

inductive Fin

Intros

$\emptyset \in \text{Fin}$

$A \in \text{Fin} \Rightarrow \text{insert } a \ A \in \text{Fin}$

Example: Even Numbers

Inductively:

- 0 is even
- If n is even then so is n + 2
- These are the only even numbers

In Isabelle HOL:

consts Ev :: nat set

inductive Ev

intros

$0 \in \text{Ev}$

$n \in \text{Ev} \Rightarrow n + 2 \in \text{Ev}$

Inductively Defined Sets

Definition mechanism:

- Define carrier set
- Declare set to be inductively defined
- Declare introduction methods

Declaration **inductive** tells Isabelle to produce a number of proof rules:

- Introduction rules
- Induction rules
- Elimination rules (case construction)
- Rule inversion rules

Example: Proof by Induction

Definition of set `Ev` produces induction principle

Goal: $m \in \text{Ev} \Rightarrow m + m \in \text{Ev}$

Proof:

- $m = 0 \rightarrow 0 + 0 \in \text{Ev}$
- $m = n + 2$ and $n \in \text{Ev}$ and $m \in \text{Ev}$ and $n + n \in \text{Ev}$
 $\Rightarrow m + m = (n + 2) + (n + 2) = ((n + n) + 2) + 2 \in \text{Ev}$

Rule Induction for Ev

To prove $n \in \text{Ev} \Rightarrow P\ n$

by rule induction on $n \in \text{Ev}$ we must prove

- $P\ 0$
- $P\ n \Rightarrow P\ (n + 2)$

Isabelle-generated induction principle:

$\llbracket n \in \text{Ev} ; P\ 0 ; \wedge n. P\ n \Rightarrow P\ (n + 2) \rrbracket \Rightarrow P\ n$

An elimination rule for `Ev`!

Rule Inversion

Isabelle proves this by induction:

`ev.cases`:

$\llbracket n \in \text{Ev} ;$
 $n = 0 \Rightarrow P ;$
 $\wedge m. \llbracket n = \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}\ m) ; m \in \text{Ev} \rrbracket \Rightarrow P \rrbracket \Rightarrow P$

inductive.cases <Name>: $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}\ n) \in \text{Ev}$

Instantiates `even.cases` to produce:

<Name>: $\llbracket \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}\ n) \in \text{Ev} ; n \in \text{Ev} \Rightarrow P \rrbracket \Rightarrow P$

Equivalently:

$\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}\ n) \in \text{Ev} \Rightarrow n \in \text{Ev}$

Mutual Induction

Even and odd numbers:

consts `Ev` :: `nat` set

`Odd` :: `nat` set

inductive `Ev` `Odd`

intros

`zero`: $0 \in \text{Ev}$

`even`: $n \in \text{Odd} \Rightarrow \text{Suc}\ n \in \text{Ev}$

`oddl`: $n \in \text{Ev} \Rightarrow \text{Suc}\ n \in \text{Odd}$

Exercise

Exercise 2: Define a recursive datatype of implicational formulas containing constants `tt` and `ff` and binary constructor `->` (infix, probably, for readability). Define inductively the set of provable formulas as the least set containing, for all formulas `F`, `G`, `H`, the formulas

`F -> F`

`F -> (G -> F)`

$(F -> (G -> H)) -> (F -> G) -> (F -> H)$

and closed under modus ponens (`F` and `F -> G` in the set implies `G` in the set).

Formulate a predicate "valid" on formulas, and show, in Isabelle, that all provable formulas are valid.