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Lecture 1: Linear Algebra, S. Ch 1
$n$-vector $\mathbf{x}$ column vector $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (or $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{n}}$ );
$m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right), i$ row index, $j$ column index
A as linear operator: $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}->\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$
$\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}\mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \ldots & \mathbf{a}_{n}\end{array}\right), \mathbf{A x}=x_{1} \mathbf{a}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} \mathbf{a}_{n}$
linear combination is in the column space $V=R(\mathbf{A})$, spanned by the columns of $\mathbf{A}$.
$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A})=\operatorname{dim}(V)=$ max. number of linearly independent columns
Matrix multiplication: $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}->\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{m}}->\boldsymbol{R}^{k} \quad \boldsymbol{R}^{n}->\boldsymbol{R}^{k}$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mxn | kxm | kxn |

$\mathbf{C x}=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A x})=(\mathbf{B A}) \mathbf{x} \quad$ Matrix multiplication is associative
Different views:

1. $c_{i j}=\sum_{s=1}^{m} b_{i s} a_{s j}=B(i,:) * A(:, j)$, scalar product of row $i$ of $\mathbf{B}$ with column $j$ of $\mathbf{A}$
2. $\mathbf{C}(:, \mathrm{j})=\mathbf{B}(:, 1) a_{1, \mathrm{j}}+\mathbf{B}(:, 2) a_{2, \mathrm{j}}+\ldots+\mathbf{B}(:, \mathrm{m}) a_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{j}}$, lin.comb of columns of $\mathbf{B}$ Column space of $\mathbf{C}$ no larger than column space of $\mathbf{B}$
3. $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{B}(:, 1)^{*} \mathbf{A}(1,:)+\mathbf{B}(:, 2)^{*} \mathbf{A}(2,:)+\ldots+\mathbf{B}(:, \mathrm{m}) * \mathbf{A}(\mathrm{~m},:)$
"Outer product", $\mathbf{C}$ as sum of rank-one matrices
Ex.

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{u v}^{\mathrm{T}} .
$$

Solve $\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}$. How many solutions? Formula for $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ ?

## Main problems of Numerical Linear Algebra

I. Solve linear system

$$
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A} m \times n, \text { often } m=n
$$

II. Eigenvalue problem: Find eigenvector(s) $\mathbf{x}$ and complex eigenvalue(s) $\lambda$

$$
\mathbf{A x}=\lambda \mathbf{x}
$$

## III. Optimization

1. "Linear programming"

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{c}^{T} \mathbf{x} \text { subject to } \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq 0
$$

2. Least squares approximation

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x}} \sum w_{i} r_{i}^{2}, \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{A x}-\mathbf{b}
$$

3. Energy minimization - equilibrium

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} a_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}-\sum_{i} b_{i} x_{i}=\min _{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}^{T} \mathbf{x}
$$

Properties of A:

- Symmetry
- Sparsity
- Condition / singularity

Sources of linear systems

- Discretization of differential equations
- Finite differences \& - volumes
- Finite Elements
- Spectral / Pseudo-spectral
- Network models \& graphs
- Electric circuits, mechanical trusses, hydraulic systems
- Markov chains
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## Ex. The K, B, T, C -matrices of S. Ch 1

Transversally loaded string, small displacements
$-S \frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}=f(x), u(0)=u(L)=0$

Difference approximations


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x \cdot u^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{j+1}-u_{j}+O\left(\Delta x^{2}\right) \\
\left(u_{j+1}-u_{j-1}\right) / 2+O\left(\Delta x^{3}\right) \\
u_{j}-u_{j-1}+O\left(\Delta x^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
&\left\{\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\hline 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\hline 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 / 2 & 0 & 1 / 2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\hline 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array} *\left(\begin{array}{c}
\ldots \\
u_{j-1} \\
u_{j} \\
u_{j+1} \\
\ldots
\end{array}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The string:
$-\Delta x^{2} \cdot u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)=-u_{j-1}+2 u_{j}-u_{j+1}+O\left(\Delta x^{4}\right):$
$-u_{j-1}+2 u_{j}-u_{j+1}=\Delta x^{2} f\left(x_{j}\right) / S, j=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad u_{0}=u_{n+1}=0$
$\mathbf{K}_{n} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f}$
(Matlab): $\mathbf{u}=\mathrm{K} \backslash \mathrm{f}$;
Solution by Gaussian elimination
Step $k$ subtracts a multiple of row $k$ (also in RHS) from rows $k+1, k+2, \ldots, n$, "elementary row operation"
Leaves first $k$ rows unchanged.
Preserves solution set.
Ex. $\mathbf{K}_{3}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 3 / 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 4 / 3
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{u}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b+1 / 2 a \\
c+1 / 3 a+2 / 3 b
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{L}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 / 2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 / 3 & 2 / 3 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{U} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$
Ex. Find $\mathbf{L}=\left(\mathbf{L}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ by GE:

- Inverse of triangular matrix is triangular
- pivots $2,3 / 2,4 / 3$ all positive
- multipliers: -1/2, (0), $-2 / 3$ are subdiagonal elements in $\mathbf{L}$.
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There follows

- If there are n non-zero pivots, unique solution for any RHS ;
- GE produces factorization $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L U}$,
- $l_{i i}=1, l_{i j}, i>j$, are the multipliers,
- $u_{i i}=$ pivots

GE with row interchanges:
If zero pivot in step $k$ : exchange rows $k$ and $s$ where the element is non-zero. If no non-zero element in pivot column, matrix is singular.
"Partial pivoting": Take $s$ for absolutely largest element in pivot column. Then

$$
\left|l_{i j}\right| \leq 1, i>j
$$

There follows:
A matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is non-singular if and only if admits a factorization

$$
\mathbf{P A}=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{U}
$$

with $\mathbf{P}$ a row reordering matrix, and $\left|l_{i j}\right| \leq 1, i>j$.

Ex. Computation of determinants $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}=S \operatorname{det} \mathbf{U}=S \cdot$ product of all pivots, $S=\operatorname{det} \mathbf{P}= \pm 1$

## Theorem

$\mathbf{A}$ is non-singular if and only if $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}$ is non-zero.

## Symmetric matrices

Observation:
A step of GE without row interchanges preserves symmetry:

$$
\mathbf{A}(2: n, 2: n):=\mathbf{A}(2: n, 2: n)-\mathbf{A}(2: n, 1) * \mathbf{A}(1,2: n) / a_{11}
$$

and the two vectors are equal because of the symmetry of A. It follows that column $k$ of $\mathbf{L}$ equals row $k$ of $\mathbf{U}$, divided by the $k$ th pivot $u_{k k}$ :

## Theorem

1. If GE can be carried out without row interchanges on the symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A}$,

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{L D L}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{D}=\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{U})
$$

2. If additionally the pivots are positive, we may write

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L}_{1} \mathbf{L}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{L}_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sqrt{u_{i i}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{L}
$$

the Cholesky-factorization.
In many important cases it is known that $\mathbf{A}$ is "SPD" = symmetric and positive definite, i.e.

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A x}>0 \text { for all non-zero } \mathbf{x}
$$

## Ex.

The normal equations

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}
$$

of a least squares problem are SPD if the columns of $\mathbf{A}$ are linearly independent. The symmetry is obvious, as the semidefinitiveness:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{y}=\sum y_{i}^{2} \geq 0,=0 \text { only if } \mathbf{y} \text { is the zero - vector, } \\
& \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$
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But for $\mathbf{y}$ to be the zero-vector, so must $\mathbf{x}$ be all zeros, since the only linear combination of the columns of $\mathbf{A}$ has vanishing coefficients. Thus, the quadratic form vanishes only when $\mathbf{x}$ is 0 .

## Theorem:

A SPD matrix has all eigenvalues real and positive.
The first step is to establish reality of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix. Define the Hermitian transpose $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{H}}$ of a matrix as the complex conjugate of the transpose, $\mathbf{A}^{H}(i, j)=\operatorname{conj}(\mathbf{A}(j, i))$. Note that

$$
x^{H} x=\sum_{i} x_{i} \operatorname{conj}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum\left|x_{i}\right|^{2} \geq 0
$$

for a vector with real or complex elements.
Proof: 1. real ... 2. positive...
Ex: Show that a symmetric matrix with positive pivots is positive definite.
Hint: Use $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L L}^{\mathrm{T}}$.
The converse is also true, but slightly harder to show, so

## Theorem

A SPD matrix $\mathbf{A}$ can be factored $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L D L}^{\mathrm{T}}$ without row exchanges and the pivots $d_{i i}$ are positive.

Proof
Look at one step. The complete proof follows by induction.

1) The $a_{11}$ element must be positive, because $a_{11}=\mathbf{e}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_{1}>0$. We call it $c$.
2) 

$$
0<\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A x}=\left(\begin{array}{l|l|l}
z & \mathbf{y}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
c & \mathbf{a}^{T} \\
\mathbf{a} & \mathbf{B}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\frac{z}{\mathbf{y}}
\end{array}\right)=c z^{2}+2 z \mathbf{a}^{T} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y}=c\left(z+\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{a}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right)^{2}+\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{a}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right)^{2}}{c}
$$

so
$\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{B y}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{a}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right)^{2}}{c}>0$ for any vector $\mathbf{y}$
The matrix in the next step becomes

$$
\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{a a}^{T} / c ; \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{C y}=\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^{T} \mathbf{y} / c=\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y}-\left(\mathbf{a}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right)^{2} / c
$$

so $\mathbf{C}$ is also SPD.
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## Example: Eigenvalue problem or solution of linear system?

Google's page rank algorithm, see e.g. article by C.Moler at Mathworks home page.

## Graphs

Set of vertices (nodes, ...) V and (directed) edges E.
Nodes are numbered $1: n$, edges $1: m$.
Node $=$ web page, edge $=$ hyperlink
Representation:

1. Edgelist: $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{k}, 1)=\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{k}, 2)=\mathrm{j}$ - an edge from node i to node j .
2. The edge-node incidence matrix $\mathbf{A}(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{p143})$ :

Edge k , from i to $\mathrm{j}: \mathbf{A}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i})=-1, \mathbf{A}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{j})=+1$, the rest zero. How represent edges from i to i? Store as sparse matrix.
3. The node-node adjacency matrix $\mathbf{W}(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{142):} \mathbf{W}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=1$ if edge from i to j , the rest zeros.
(Out/In)degree of node i: number of out/in going edges

$$
\begin{aligned}
O d_{i} & =\sum_{j} w_{i j}, I d_{i}=\sum_{j} w_{j i} \\
\mathbf{O d} & =\mathbf{W} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{I d}=\mathbf{W}^{T} \mathbf{1},
\end{aligned} \quad \mathbf{1}=\text { ones }(\mathrm{n}, 1)
$$

Ex. Four nodes, five (six) edges

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
? & ? & \ldots & ?
\end{array}\right) \\
& W=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), O d=\left(\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right), I d=\left(\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Markov chains

"Random walker" $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}$ a stochastic variable which takes on values $1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ (the nodes). Each timestep X "jumps" along the edges at random, with given frequencies / probabilities :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X^{n}=i\right)=\sum_{k} P\left(X^{n-1}=k\right) \cdot \underbrace{P\left(X^{n}=i \mid X^{n-1}=k\right)}_{w_{k i}} \\
& p_{i}^{n}=\sum_{k} w_{k i} p_{k}^{n-1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{n}=\mathbf{W}^{T} \mathbf{p}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes:

- $0 \leq w_{\mathrm{ki}} \leq 1$
- $\mathbf{W 1}=\mathbf{1}$ (the process goes to one of the nodes with probability 1 )

It follows that the $\mathbf{p}$-vector tends to a limit $\mathbf{p} \infty$ as n increases. $p \infty$ is

- the set of expected number of visitors to a node, or
- the average fraction of time spent at that node by a single process.

We must have

$$
\mathbf{p} \infty=\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{p} \infty,
$$

so $\mathbf{p} \infty$ is the (right) eigenvector of the eigenvalue 1 of $\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{T}}$. (?)
We know that

- $\mathbf{W}$ has an eigenvalue 1 with eigenvector 1 .
- ... so $\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}}$ also has an eigenvalue 1 , but what is its eigenvector?

The Web model: A random surfer

- chooses a random page with small probability $q / n$,
- follows a link on the current page k with equal probability, $p=(1-q) / \mathrm{Od}_{k}$

This defines the very big ( $n=3 \mathrm{G}$ in 2003) full Markov matrix $\mathbf{W}$. Check that it is a Markov matrix - that rows sum to 1 .
The matrix of existing links is very sparse, so one might represent $\mathbf{W}$ as the sum of this sparse matrix and a rank-one correction $q / n 11^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{n}$ *ones $(\mathrm{n})$ which is not stored.
Task: Compute $\mathbf{p} \infty$ and rank the pages according to decreasing component in $\mathbf{p} \infty$ !
How?
0) Standard eigensolution by diagonalization

1) Power method for eigenvalue problem, or time-stepping $\mathbf{p}^{n}=\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{p}^{n-1}$
2) Solve $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}$ by faster iteration. ... singularity? can use $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}=1$ as "extra equation"
Diagonal elements are guaranteed to be $\geq q$, so no divide by zero problem. GaussSeidel faster than Jacobi $(=1)$. Even faster?

How compute $\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}$ ??? Google secret?

