Experiences with Geographical Collaborative Systems: Playfulness in Geosocial Networks and Geocaching

Clare J. Hooper

Eindhoven University of Technology Eindhoven The Netherlands c.j.hooper@tue.nl

Jill Walker Rettberg

University of Bergen Bergen Norway jill.walker.rettberg@uib.no

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

MobileHCI 2011, Aug 30-Sept 2, 2011, Stockholm, Sweden.

ACM 978-1-4503-0541-9/11/08-09.

Abstract

Playful geosocial services are being used more and more widely, yet we still don't understand people's experiences with them. With wide-ranging privacy issues and enormous choice between rival services, it is important to understand this area. We present the methodology and results of a study delving into experiences with a GPS-based scavenger hunt, geocaching, and a geosocial network, Gowalla. We highlight similarities and differences, noting particularly the importance of 'hidden communities' and a strong contrast in terms of 'being versus doing'. We describe variations in types of playfulness within each service.

Keywords

Geosocial networks, geocaching, Gowalla, TAMA, TAPT, UX.

ACM Classification Keywords

H5.m. Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI): Miscellaneous.

General Terms

Human Factors.

Introduction

We wanted to better understand people's experiences with playful geosocial services on smartphones. There has been much discussion of the privacy issues of such systems [1] [4], but less consideration of why "checking in" to places gives people pleasure or is useful to them.

Despite the widespread success of these services, even loyal users can struggle to express why they use them. There exists a plethora of choice between rivals: among others, Foursquare, Gowalla and Facebook Places all superficially provide one core function, 'checking in' to a location. Can we dig deeper into the meaning of these experiences?

This paper looks at a popular geosocial networking service, Gowalla, and a GPS-based scavenger hunt, Geocaching. We organised sessions with two focus groups to analyse the services using Teasing Apart [6], then conducted a meta analysis of that output.

We examined Gowalla because of its popularity in Norway, and geocaching as it is a contrasting service whose primary function is not to 'check in', but to find a cache.

Gowalla and Geocaching

Gowalla¹, founded in 2007, is a geosocial network: you use a mobile web app to check into locations, notifying friends on the service that you are there. You can see where friends have recently been, post or view photographs of locations, see who else has been at locations, and leave comments for friends. There is also

a gaming aspect: you can find virtual tokens at some spots, and you can collect and swap these.

Geocaching² is a collaboratively organised scavenger hunt: people use GPS coordinates and clues on a website to find 'caches'. While it has antecedents in pre-digital treasure hunts, geocaching with GPS began in 2000 when private citizens were given access to more accurate signals, allowing more precise locations to be found. Today the game revolves around the website, which lists caches and hosts discussion forums. Several mobile phone apps exist.

Certain functional similarities are evident across both tools:

- Linking oneself with a spot ('checking in' or finding a cache), and broadcasting that link.
- Competitive aspects. Gowalla spots have 'leaderboards', where users are ranked by how often they have checked in. Geocaching has a 'first to find' concept: the first person to locate a cache gains prestige. Ranking encourages competition in other areas, such as number of caches found.
- 3. Mechanisms to encourage exploration:
 - Users may define sequences of locations ('trips' in Gowalla, 'trails' in geocaching).
 - Gowalla awards virtual badges (pins) when new countries are visited.
 - Visualisation tools such as Google Maps, for viewing check ins and caches.
- Travelling items. Geocaches may contain 'travel bugs' that may have goals such as reaching a location. Gowalla may award virtual items on check in: as in geocaching, users can move items.

² http://www.geocaching.com/

¹ http://gowalla.com/

This study is not the first to examine such tools. For example, Farman [2] presents an in-depth analysis of geocaching and embodiment, while O'Hara [9] considers motivations for geocaching, including walking, exploration, collecting, community and competition. In contrast to prior work, we aimed to compare experiences with such tools.

Method: Teasing Apart by Focus Groups followed by Meta Analysis

There exist various approaches to understanding User Experience (UX), from cultural probes (to elicit attitudes to life and technology [3]), to self-assessment manikins (images of puppets for measuring emotion [7]), to Teasing Apart with Meta Analysis, or TAMA (for understanding social and emotional aspects of experience [6]).

We chose to use TAMA. In contrast to questionnaires or interviews which focus on what people think and say, TAMA elicits tacit knowledge and latent needs. It was chosen over cultural probes as probes don't elicit specific experiences. It was chosen over self-assessment manikins as we wanted users to state key words, not rate emotions that we specified.

TAMA uses the first phase of Teasing Apart, Piecing Together (TAPT), a method for understanding and reproviding experiences in new contexts [5]. TAPT has been used to facilitate the design of real-world versions of experiences that are initially situated on the web (such as microblogging and wiki usage).

TAMA falls into two phases:

 'Teasing Apart' involves analysing an experience. Practitioners examine 'surface elements' (design aspects such as components on a webpage or physical components of a real-world experience) and 'experienced effects' (literal outcomes such as changes after a wiki update, and abstract outcomes such as emotional and social effects). The final stage of Teasing Apart is to review the elements and effects to identify what is essential to the experience, and write a description of the 'distilled experience' based on that. This description does not refer to the original modality of the experience.

2. 'Meta Analysis' is the phase in which researchers conduct a higher-level analysis of the Teasing Apart data, using the analyses alone or with other frameworks to gain fresh insight.

We used focus groups because multiple participants would reduce issues of subjectivity and give broader insights. We held sessions with two groups, one composed of Gowalla users and one of geocachers. We selected participants local to the Bergen area who responded to a call on Twitter and self-identified as enthusiastic users of the services.

Each focus group lasted for one hour. We opened by asking participants to share a few words about their background, their expertise with the service, and why they use it. This let us contextualise results and helped them get to know one another. We then asked participants to apply the analytical phase of TAPT, as a group, to the service in question.

Results

Table I shows a simplified version of the resulting Teasing Apart analyses. The groups generally used different words, but often referred to similar concepts: for example, geocachers talked about 'logs' to record finds and Gowalla users described 'passports' for much

Table I. Teasing Apart Geocaching and Gowalla

	Experience	Surface	Literal effects	Abstract effects	Distilled
		elements			experience
Geocach	Offline	-not very	-trade	-excited	GC is a
ing	treasure	technical	-rewards	-disappointment	community-run
	hunt based	-treasure /	-statistic / profile	-theatre / playing	activity about
	on online	cache	-logging	-shared	finding secrets,
	map. World	-log	-first to find	-learning	and logging
	wide activity	-physical as	-travel bugs	-searching	them. It is
		well as digital	travels	-competition	challenging,
		-share or	-muggles / losing	-cooperate / community	exciting and can
		alone	caches	-challenge	be disappointing.
		-exploring		-secret	
Gowalla	Finding spot	-palm of hand	-link self and spot	-sharing	Linking you to a
	Writing it	device	-contributing to	-self expression	spot and
	Comment	-pretty icons	the spot	-competition	broadcasting it.
	Photo	-information	-the fact of you	-collecting (places)	Enjoyment of
	Get virtual	-geographical	linking to the spot	-scavenger challenges	collecting
	buttons	closeness	-broadcasting the	-I am: social feeling of being	(buttons) sense
	Getting out	-access to	link	-sense of presence	of presence and
	device	passport	-zoning out of	-satisfaction (win, collect, check	of others.
	Selecting	-photos	social	in, discover)	Documenting
	Gowalla	-what friends	-receiving virtual	-fun / play	habits and
	Choosing	have checked	token	-meaninglessness	sharing new
	how broadly	in here	-learning about	-highlights habits and	experiences.
	to share ->		other experiences	experiences	
	privacy		/ perspectives	-connection with others at same	
				place	
				-relief of boredom	

the same function.

Many of the common experiential aspects related to an idea of **community**: trade and rewards; contributing; 'zoning into' communities; sharing; learning; competing; and playing. Indeed, Gowalla users talked

about 'zoning out' of the physical world while simultaneously describing an increased 'sense of presence' and 'connection' with online communities ("it's not just zoning out of the social, you're actually zoning *in* to the social..."). Similarly, geocachers talked at length about the community aspects of geocaching,

describing it as 'community-run' and discussing the importance of keeping their activities secret from 'muggles' (people who do not geocache): "you have to try to pretend that you're not doing what you're doing... most of the time people stare at you like you're an idiot." In both cases, the groups highlighted the concept of making a mental transition between different spaces: the same physical space can host numerous online communities as well as to the more obvious physical community.

A stark difference emerged around the concept of **being versus doing**. The geocaching group focused on a sense of excitement, disappointment, and 'theatre'. They used this last word to refer to the secrecy of geocaching and the need to disguise their actions (as they put it, to 'sneak') when seeking a cache, to avoid being spotted by 'muggles'. In contrast to this energetic, focused activity, Gowalla users talked about 'self expression' and a 'feeling of being'. Gowalla involves describing one's state in the current moment ("Here is an image of the coffee I am with"), and reflecting upon one's habits – users talked about increased awareness of routines, and even about highlighting the 'monotony' of their routines as well as emphasising novel activities when they do occur.

From this, we posit that the main experiential difference between Gowalla and geocaching is a contrast between 'being' and 'doing'. Geocaching is a physically active hunt, in which people feel excited as they 'sneak' about, seeking hidden 'treasures'. Gowalla usage involves sharing one's current state that would occur regardless of the Gowalla system. Our evidence suggests that locating a geocache is a more explicit

goal than 'checking in': geocachers set time aside and venture out to find caches, while Gowalla users check into locations at which they find themselves.

Playfulness

We can examine these results through the lens of playfulness. Korhonen's Playful Experience (PLEX) framework [8] lists 20 categories of playful experience. Abstract effects identified with Teasing Apart are relevant to these, and Table II shows effects' fit with the categories.

'Meaninglessness' is difficult to relate to PLEX categories. It arose from Gowalla participants' difficulty describing their motivations: "I get happy when I get [virtual] objects, I don't know why." Similarly, 'highlighting habits and experiences' doesn't easily fit PLEX categories: it primarily concerns reflection.

Table III shows the frequency with which abstract effects fell into PLEX categories.

As can be seen, geocachers' experiences were strongly grounded in the concept of challenge and thrill, with multiple references to competition, discovery, fellowship and subversion. By contrast, the main focus of Gowalla users was expression and fellowship, with multiple references to competition, completion and exploration.

Using the PLEX framework further substantiates our initial finding: Geocaching is an active challenge, Gowalla use is reflective and unplanned. The process also revealed facets such as Gowalla users' apparent focus on completion.

Table II. Abstract effects within Korhonen's categories of play

Abstract effect	System	Category	
Challenge	Both	Challenge	
Collecting places	Gowalla	Completion, discovery, exploration	
Competition	Both	Competition	
Connection with others at same place	Gowalla	Fellowship	
Cooperate / communicate	Geocaching	Fellowship	
Disappointment	Geocaching	Suffering	
Excitement	Geocaching	Thrill	
Fun / play	Both	Meta observation	
Highlights habits and experiences	Gowalla	Exploration, see discussion also	
I am – social feeling of being	Gowalla	Expression, fellowship	
Learning	Geocaching	Challenge, discovery, exploration	
Meaninglessness	Gowalla	See discussion	
Relief of boredom	Gowalla	Captivation, expression ³	
Satisfaction (e.g. winning)	Gowalla	Completion, competition	
Searching	Geocaching	Captivation, challenge, competition, discovery	
Secret	Geocaching	Challenge, fantasy, subversion, thrill	
Self expression	Gowalla	Expression	
Sense of presence	Gowalla	Expression, fellowship	
Shared	Both	Fellowship	
Theatre	Geocaching	Challenge, subversion (through acting), thrill	

³ 'Relief of boredom' was discussed in the context of using Gowalla to check in while queueing: it was perceived as a quick, easy way to both express and relieve boredom.

Table III. Frequency with which categories appear.

Category	Frequency		
	Geocaching	Gowalla	
Captivation	1	1	
Challenge	5	1	
Competition	2	2	
Completion	-	2	
Discovery	2	1	
Exploration	1	2	
Expression	-	4	
Fantasy	1	1	
Fellowship	2	4	
Subversion	2	1	
Suffering	1	-	
Thrill	3	-	

Methodological Comments

At the time of the study Gowalla had a tagline: "Thanks for making Gowalla part of the everyday and extraordinary in your life." It is possible that this description influenced the Gowalla users when describing the service, particularly its use for highlighting routines and novel activities.

Recruitment of enthusiasts meant that their opinions were subject to a positive bias. We targeted these groups in order to understand their perceptions of the services.

For practical reasons, the focus groups were relatively small in number, and participants were from the Bergen area. As such, these results should not be generalised. For example, the geocachers self-identified as urban geocachers, and remarked that rural geocachers work differently, travelling longer distances and not engaging in 'theatre'. Similarly, although the Gowalla users were not competitive or goal-oriented, Gowalla includes functionality that could encourage such behaviour via the 'trips' mechanism: it is possible that our Gowalla users happened to be more passive than usual, and that Gowalla users in general are more goal-oriented, like geocachers.

Although the evidence presented here is only based on two focus groups and must be treated as such, it nonetheless provides useful initial insights into this new area.

Conclusions and Future Work

We have described our use of focus groups with TAMA towards better understanding people's experiences of location-based tools, specifically Gowalla and geocaching. This method let us acquire a vocabulary and a way to discern between these services.

The two tools, despite surface differences, share a key underlying concept: a location-based community, hidden from the eyes of outsiders. The primary difference concerns the concept of 'being' versus 'doing', with Gowalla users passively checking in to locations at which they find themselves and geocachers choosing and pursuing goals.

Korhonen's Playful Experience (PLEX) framework let us verify our main finding and uncover further facets.

We would like to further investigate people's motivations for using tools such as Gowalla. Geocachers confidently described their motivations, but

Gowalla users struggled to express why they use the tool: "It's fun but I don't know why... I don't see a goal." They reported conflicting reasons for checking in: some wanted to leave a mark for passersby, but others only check in for themselves.

Also of interest are insights into differences between superficially similar tools. During this study participants began to yield relevant data, spontaneously discussing differences between Gowalla and Foursquare: it is likely that ourw method is suited to use in this context.

We have presented a method for understanding user experiences of geosocial services alongside results from two focus group sessions and a meta-analysis of their output. Geosocial services let people express themselves and connect to communities, yet they appear in diverse forms that support very different experiences: as was seen here, geocaching is an active form of play, while Gowalla constitutes a way to playfully express oneself.

Acknowledgments. This work was part-funded by the IET.

REFERENCES

- [1] Blumberg, A.J., E. P. (2009). On Locational Privacy, and How to Avoid Losing it Forever | Electronic Frontier Foundation. Electronic Frontier Foundation. Accessed March 2011, http://www.eff.org/wp/locational-privacy
- [2] Farman, J. (2009). Locative Life: Geocaching, Mobile Gaming, and Embodiment. *Leonardo Electronic Almanac*.
- [3] Gaver, W., Dunne, T., Pascenti, E.:. Design: Cultural probes. *Interactions*, 6 (1), 21--29 (1999)
- [4] Groeneveld, F., Borsboom, B., van Amstel, B. (2010). Over-sharing and Location Awareness. Centre for Democracy and Technology. Accessed March 2011,

- http://www.cdt.org/blogs/cdt/over-sharing-and-location-awareness
- [5] Hooper, C.J., Millard, D.E.: Teasing Apart and Piecing Together: Towards Understanding Web-based Interactions. *Proc. Web Science* (2010)
- [6] Hooper, C. J.: Using TAPT as an Analytical Method for Understanding Online Experiences. *Proc: Web Science* (2011)
- [7] Isomursu Tahti, M., Vainamo, S., Kuutti, K.: Experimental evaluation of five methods for collecting emotions in field settings with mobile applications. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* (65) 404-418 (2007)
- [8] Korhonen, H., Montola, M., Arrasvuori, J. (2009). Understanding Playful User Experience Through Digital Games. *International Conference on Designing Pleasurable Products and Interfaces* (pp. 274-285).
- [9] O'Hara, K. (2008). Understanding geocaching practices and motivations. *Proc. CHI Conference on Human factors in computing systems CHI '08*, 1177. ACM Press. doi: 10.1145/1357054.1357