Form 1: Determining the Seriousness of Plagiarism

Name of student:	Unit:	_ Date:	Place a tick at the appropriate place on each continuum. Make an overall judgement about
Nature of alleged plagiarism:			the level of seriousness. Final level above Level I must be ratified either by the Head of Academic Area (Level II) or Pro Vice-Chancellor
Staff member (complainant):	Unit Coordinator:		(Level III)

Criteria	LOW LEVEL	MEDIUM LEVEL	HIGH LEVEL
Experience of the student	4		———
Relates to your expectation that the student should be aware of the seriousness of their actions.	For example: First year student or first semester of course Cultural considerations/mitigating circumstances e.g. no prior instruction or unclear instructions given HDR students: in pre-candidacy draft	For example: Students after first semester of course but before final year After completion of known instruction in avoiding plagiarism HDR students: in candidacy or early to mid-course thesis drafts	For example: Final year, experienced student Where student is expected to fully understand and exhibit academic integrity HDR students in final thesis drafts/ submitted thesis
Nature of plagiarism	←		
Nature of the breach of academic scholarship.	For example: Referencing or attribution of work is not clear or adequate, or has numerous errors Inappropriate paraphrasing	For example: Failure to reference and/or cite adequately Copying segments of other students' assignment work False indication of contribution to group work Copying fragments of material from websites, book or other publications Recycling parts of previous assignments	For example: Fabricated references or citations Whole works copied (from students or other sources) Purchased assignment Stealing others' work
Extent of plagiarism	←		
Amount or proportion of assessment item or work that is not the student's own. Extent to which the assessment process is compromised.	For example: Few sentences, one paragraph, one (minor) graphic Few elements of computer source code	For example: Two or three paragraphs or a segment of the work Segments of computer source code	For example: More than 10% of the work is copied Significant appropriation of ideas or artistic work Multiple pages or sections of text or graphics copied
Intent of student to cheat by way of	4		•
plagiarism Intentionality of the act of plagiarism and intent to cheat by way of plagiarism.	For example: Plagiarism appears unintentional or due to lack of knowledge Intent to cheat is unlikely or doubtful	For example: Plagiarism appears intentional or the result of negligence Intent to cheat is probable but cannot be clearly substantiated Two or more students involved	For example: Plagiarism appears deliberate and planned Actions contravene clear instructions Intent to cheat is evident and can be substantiated
Decision	LEVEL I offence	LEVEL II offence	LEVEL III offence

Determination of level of seriousness: LEVEL I II (please circle after completing the table) Refer to Schedules B and C of the Plagiarism Policy once likely level of seriousness has been determined.

Comment: