

Spherical Regression: Learning Viewpoints, Surface Normals and 3D Rotations on Spheres

David Mohlin

KTH

2019

Introduction

- ▶ Try have networks model output on n-spheres. ($S(n-1)$)
- ▶ $S(n) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$

Introduction

- ▶ Try have networks model output on n-spheres. ($S(n-1)$)
- ▶ $S(n) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$
- ▶ Reason is that the $S(n)$ set occurs naturally in several tasks, for example estimating directions

Introduction

- ▶ Try have networks model output on n-spheres. ($S(n-1)$)
- ▶ $S(n) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$
- ▶ Reason is that the $S(n)$ set occurs naturally in several tasks, for example estimating directions
- ▶ The space of rotations ($SO(n)$) has a topology similar to the one for $S(m)$
- ▶ $SO(n) = \{\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} : \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}, |\mathbf{R}| = 1\}$

Motivation

- ▶ They want a mapping onto $S(N)$ which has a bounded jacobian

Motivation

- ▶ They want a mapping onto $S(N)$ which has a bounded jacobian
- ▶ To get this they use the mapping
- ▶ $|p_j| = \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^N \exp(o_k)} \quad \forall j \leq N$

Motivation

- ▶ $|p_j| = \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^N \exp(o_k)} \quad \forall j \leq N$
- ▶ This mapping will output N elements with l2 norm 1
- ▶ But all components are positive.

Motivation

- ▶ $|p_j| = \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^N \exp(o_k)} \quad \forall j \leq N$
- ▶ This mapping will output N elements with l2 norm 1
- ▶ But all components are positive.
- ▶ To fix this they have N 2 class classifiers determining $sign(p_j)$

Motivation

- ▶ $|p_j| = \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^N \exp(o_k)} \quad \forall j \leq N$
- ▶ This mapping will output N elements with l2 norm 1
- ▶ But all components are positive.
- ▶ To fix this they have N 2 class classifiers determining $sign(p_j)$
- ▶ Final output is $p_j = sign(p_j) * |p_j|$

Datasets

- ▶ Pascal3D+: Real dataset with manually oriented orientations of objects
- ▶ 12 classes (bike, car, aeroplane etc) annotators have fitted cads onto images
- ▶ Also train on synthetic data

Datasets

- ▶ Pascal3D+: Real dataset with manually oriented orientations of objects
- ▶ 12 classes (bike, car, aeroplane etc) annotators have fitted cads onto images
- ▶ Also train on synthetic data
- ▶ NYU Depth v2: Normals estimated from kinnect, try to estimate those normals based on images.
- ▶ Also use synthetic data for training.

Datasets

- ▶ Pascal3D+: Real dataset with manually oriented orientations of objects
- ▶ 12 classes (bike, car, aeroplane etc) annotators have fitted cads onto images
- ▶ Also train on synthetic data
- ▶ NYU Depth v2: Normals estimated from kinnect, try to estimate those normals based on images.
- ▶ Also use synthetic data for training.
- ▶ Modelnet10-SO(3) synthetic dataset

Methods

- ▶ Pascal3D+: estimate 3 euler angles separately (3 elements from $S(2)$), similar to other method

Methods

- ▶ Pascal3D+: estimate 3 euler angles separately (3 elements from $S(2)$), similar to other method
- ▶ NYU Depth v2: Estimate point on $S(3)$, similar to other method.

Methods

- ▶ Pascal3D+: estimate 3 euler angles separately (3 elements from $S(2)$), similar to other method
- ▶ NYU Depth v2: Estimate point on $S(3)$, similar to other method.
- ▶ Modelnet10-SO(3): Test several output representations, quaternions work the best

Results

- ▶ Pascal3d+ 11.6 \rightarrow 9.2 degrees error (SOTA 10.1)

Results

- ▶ Pascal3d+ 11.6 \rightarrow 9.2 degrees error (SOTA 10.1)
- ▶ NYU Depth v2: 21.7 \rightarrow 19.7 degree error (SOTA 21.7 degrees)

Results

- ▶ Pascal3d+ 11.6 \rightarrow 9.2 degrees error (SOTA 10.1)
- ▶ NYU Depth v2: 21.7 \rightarrow 19.7 degree error (SOTA 21.7 degrees)
- ▶ Modelnet10-SO(3): 20.3 degree error

Comments

- ▶ For two of the datasets they rotate the dataset by 45 degrees around dataset varying axis.
- ▶ I assume the representation is not as general as they claim (it is hard to output some directions)

Comments

- ▶ For two of the datasets they rotate the dataset by 45 degrees around dataset varying axis.
- ▶ I assume the representation is not as general as they claim (it is hard to output some directions)
- ▶ The representation is not rotation invariant.

Comments

- ▶ For two of the datasets they rotate the dataset by 45 degrees around dataset varying axis.
- ▶ I assume the representation is not as general as they claim (it is hard to output some directions)
- ▶ The representation is not rotation invariant.
- ▶ The classification sign causes discontinuities.

Comments

- ▶ For two of the datasets they rotate the dataset by 45 degrees around dataset varying axis.
- ▶ I assume the representation is not as general as they claim (it is hard to output some directions)
- ▶ The representation is not rotation invariant.
- ▶ The classification sign causes discontinuities.
- ▶ They do not model Pascal3D+ and ModelNet in the same way despite trying to estimate a rotation matrix for both.

Comments

- ▶ For two of the datasets they rotate the dataset by 45 degrees around dataset varying axis.
- ▶ I assume the representation is not as general as they claim (it is hard to output some directions)
- ▶ The representation is not rotation invariant.
- ▶ The classification sign causes discontinuities.
- ▶ They do not model Pascal3D+ and ModelNet in the same way despite trying to estimate a rotation matrix for both.
- ▶ They have a larger error for a synthetic dataset than a real dataset.