

DD2380 PROJECT OPPOSITION REPORT

This document is adapted from the opposition for Master's thesis written by Kerstin Frenckner. The original version can be downloaded from

<http://www.nada.kth.se/utbildning/grukth/exjobb/datalogi/opposition/index.html.en>

Your duties as an opponent

- Critically review the report in question
- Pay particular attention to the problem approach, the methodology chosen and to the interpretation/evaluation of results
- Make annotations on the report of clerical errors, other minor errors, incomprehensible or ambiguous text
- Complete this Opponent Record up to the comments on presentation before the presentation. You can use a computer or black ink by hand (must be readable!).
- At the presentation have 2 copies of the document to be handed over to the lecturer before the presentation session (keep the page with comments on the presentation).
- Orally present your general opinion of and comments on the work after the other groups's presentation
- Put questions to the author's of the report following their presentation: you may put forward the questions set down in the Opponent Record, or some of these questions, but it is also reasonable to expect the presentation to generate new questions.
- Complete the comments on the presentation after the presentation and hand in to the lecturer in connection with the presentation. You will be given a few minutes after the presentation to confer within the group about what to write there.
- Give the annotated report to the other group at the conclusion of the seminar
- Give personal, written comments on the verbal presentation held following the project you are opposing.

Attempt to answer the questions in the Opponent Record in relative detail. Answers such as **Yes** and **Good** are insufficient.

Normally you write comments on a presentation that you are not acting as opponent on. Here you do to avoid having too many people involved in the process and thus make the process more efficient.

OPPONENT RECORD

Report compiled by:

Opponents:

Did the abstract provide an adequate summary of the report?

To what degree did the author justify his/her choice of method of tackling the problem?

Did the author discuss the extent to which the prerequisites for the application of such a method are fulfilled?

Is the method adequately described?

Has the author set out his/her results clearly and concisely?

Do you consider the groups conclusions to be credible?

Do you feel that the group used knowledge from the course to solve the problem?

Which sections of the report were difficult to understand?

Other comments on the report and its structure.

What are the stronger features of the work/report?

Summarise the work in a few lines.

Questions to author:

1

2

3

4

5

6

What is your concluding assessment of the report?

Circle most appropriate grade for the report (in your opinion): **0 1 2 3**

COMMENTS ON PRESENTATION

(these written comments are to be handed over to the lecturer at the end of the session)

Presenting group:

Opposing group:

Introduction

Presentation of achievements

Conclusion

Vocal pitch

Commitment and contact with the public

Use of overheads/slides

Overall assessment:

Circle the most appropriate grade for the presentation (in your opinion):

0 1 2 3