Lecture 5: October 10

Lecturer: Johan Håstad

Scribes: Anton Andersson

Fall 2014

5.1 The Chinese remainder theorem (CRT)

With N = pq and GCD(p,q) = 1, then

$$x = \begin{cases} x_1 \mod \mathbf{p} \\ x_2 \mod \mathbf{q} \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution modulo N that can be found efficiently (polynomial time with regard to $\log N$). Efficiently solve it for the special cases

> $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 0) \Rightarrow \text{solution } u_1$ $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 0) \Rightarrow \text{solution } u_2$

We can then calculate a general solution x

 $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2 \mod \mathcal{N}$

since

$$x = x_1u_1 + x_2u_2 = x_1 \cdot 1 + x_2 \cdot 0 \mod \mathbf{p}$$

$$x = x_1u_1 + x_2u_2 = x_1 \cdot 0 + x_2 \cdot 1 \mod \mathbf{q}$$

We can compute u_1 and u_2 by running the extended Euclidean algorithm on p and q. We get a and b such that

$$1=\underbrace{ap}_{u_1}+\underbrace{bq}_{u_2}$$

since

$$1 = ap + bq \mod p = 0 + bq \mod p \Rightarrow bq = 1 \mod p$$
$$1 = ap + bq \mod q = ap + 0 \mod q \Rightarrow ap = 1 \mod q$$

5.2 Modular division

What is $\frac{2}{3} \mod 7$?

 $\begin{array}{l} 3 \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 2 \mod 7 \\ 3 \cdot x = 2 \mod 7 \end{array}$

We see that x = 3 does it!

What is $\frac{2}{3} \mod 6$?

 $3\cdot x=2 \ \mathrm{mod}\ 6$

This has no solution and this should not be a surprise. Already in the real numbers we know that $\frac{2}{0}$ is not defined. It is bad with a zero in the denominator. The Chinese remainder theorem states that modulo 6 is the same as modulo 2 and modulo 3 at the same time and $\frac{2}{3}$ modulo 6 when we look at it modulo 3, this is $\frac{2}{0}$.

5.3 Efficient modular division

Think of $\frac{2}{3}$ as $2 \cdot \frac{1}{3}$. How do we compute modular inverses?

Use the extended Euclidean algorithm

$$GCD(p,b) = 1 \Rightarrow 1 = cp + db \Rightarrow d = \frac{1}{b}$$

5.4 Factorization

We want to factor $N = p \cdot q$ in less than linear time with regard to p (which is the time complexity for trial division).

5.4.1 Pollard's ρ algorithm - magic and simple algorithm

Algorithm:

$$x_0 = 4711 x_{i+1} = x_i^2 + 1 \mod N$$

Compute $GCD(x_{2i} - x_i, N)$ for i = 1, 2, ... until you find a factor $(GCD(x_{2i} - x_i, N) \neq 1)$, the value of GCD(...) is a factor of N

CRT: modulo $N \sim \text{modulo } p$ & modulo q. Squaring is pretty random; x_i modulo p looks like random numbers until we get a repeat.

$$x_0 = 4711$$

 $x_1 =$ Some number mod p
...
 $x_{2711} = x_{414}$
 $x_{2712} = x_{415}$

Iterating $x_i \mod p$ is equivalent to running around a loop. x_{2i} is running twice as fast as x_i . When they meet up $x_{2i} - x_i$ is divisible by p and $GCD(x_{2i} - x_i, N)$ contains the factor p (we are not sure that this is a prime but that can easily be checked).

Heuristic statement: Pollard ρ finds the factor p in $\sim \sqrt{p}$ time. This is based on the heuristic assumption that the x_i behave like random numbers and the key is to analyze how many random numbers are needed until we get a repeated value.

5.4.2 Collision probability

How many random numbers $(x'_i s \mod p)$ are needed to get a repeat?



This is analogous to the birthday problem (what's the probability of collision of birthdays in a group of a certain size?). The probability of no collision is $\sim e^{\frac{-t^2}{2p}}$, t = #numbers and p is the number of possibilities.

 $\begin{array}{ll} t\sim\sqrt{2p} & \rightarrow P(\text{no collision})=e^{-1}\\ t\sim\sqrt{10p} & \rightarrow P(\text{no collision})=e^{-5} \end{array}$

5.4.3 Implementation

The squaring and modulo calculations are considerably faster than the GCD calculation, thus we want to perform few calls to GCD. We can achieve this by multiplying together a few consecutive $x_{2i} - x_i \mod N$ before calling GCD on the product.

5.4.4 General factorization

Find nontrivial solution ($x \neq \pm y$) to $x^2 = y^2 \mod N$.

N divides $x^2 - y^2 = (x - y)(x + y)$ but not either factor. GCD(N, x - y) is a factor of N.

First idea: Small numbers are often squares, $\lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil$ ($\lceil \ \rceil$ means round up to next integer). Example:

$$N = 21
\lceil \sqrt{21} \rceil = 5
5^2 = 25 = 4 = 2^2 \mod 21
GCD(5 - 2, 21) = 3
GCD(5 + 2, 21) = 7$$

How large is $\lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil^2 - N$?

$$\begin{split} & \lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil - \sqrt{N} \sim \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{d\sqrt{N}^2}{d\sqrt{N}} = 2\sqrt{N} \\ & \Rightarrow \lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil^2 - N \approx \sqrt{N}^2 + \frac{1}{2} * 2\sqrt{N} - N = \sqrt{N} \end{split}$$

In the last step we assume that the ceiling operation adds an average of $\frac{1}{2}$ to \sqrt{N} and substitute $\lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil^2$ with a Taylor expansion.

What is the probability that a number of size T is a perfect square?

There are $\lfloor \sqrt{T} \rfloor$ perfect squares $\leq T$, which means that the probability is $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$.

In our case we have $T \sim \sqrt{N}$, which gives us a probability of $\approx N^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ that \sqrt{N} is a square, and a time complexity of $N^{\frac{1}{4}} \ge \sqrt{p}$ where p is the smallest prime and thus Pollard's ρ algorithm is better.

Example:

N = 161 $\lceil \sqrt{161} \rceil = 13$ $13^2 = 169 = 8 \mod 161 \pmod{\text{a square}}$ $\lceil \sqrt{2 * 161} \rceil = 18$ $18^2 = 324 = 2 \mod 161 \pmod{\text{a square}}$ $13^2 \cdot 18^2 = (13 \cdot 18)^2 = 8 \cdot 2 = 4^2$ $13 \cdot 18 \mod 161 = 73$ GCD(73 - 4, 161) = 7GCD(73 + 4, 161) = 13

Example:

N = 123	
$11^2 = 121 = -2$	$\mod 123$
$12^2 = 144 = 21 = 3 \cdot 7$	$\mod 123$
$16^2 = 256 = 10 = 2 \cdot 5$	$\mod 123$
$18^2 = 324 = -45 = -5 \cdot 3^2$	$\mod 123$
$19^2 = 361 = -8 = -2^3$	$\mod 123$

We can find squares by combining the above

$$(11 \cdot 19)^2 = -2 \cdot -2^3 = 2^4 = 4^2 \mod{123} (11 \cdot 16 \cdot 18)^2 = -2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot -5 \cdot 3^2 = (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5)^2 \mod{123}$$

5.4.5 Quadric Sieve

Idea: Generate many (~ 10⁶) a_i such that $b_i = a_i^2$ are small mod N. One good alternative is to use.

$$b_i = (i + \lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil)^2 - N$$

Factor all b_i and combine to form perfect squares. More about this in next lecture.