

This lecture started with a fairly detailed recap of the material covered in lecture 16, in particular:

- Hasse derivative,
- Multiplicity,
- Proposition 3(3),
- Lemma 5.
- Corollary 7,

leading up to the statement of the multiplicities-aware Schwartz-Zippel lemma

LEMMA 8 (SCHWARTZ-ZIPPEL WITH MULTIPLICITIES) II:

Let $p(\vec{x}) \in F[\vec{x}]$ be an n -variate nonzero polynomial of total degree $\deg(p) \leq d$. Then for any finite $S \subseteq F$ it holds that

$$\sum_{a \in S^n} \text{mult}(\vec{p}, \vec{a}) \leq d \cdot |S|^{n-1}$$

Proof By induction over n .

Base case $n=1$

First show that if $\text{mult}(p, a) = m$, then $(x-a) \mid p(x)$ (as should be the case unless we got the definitions wrong).

By def of multiplicity, we have

$$p(a+z) = \sum_i p^{(i)}(a) z^i$$

and

$$\forall i < m \quad p^{(i)}(a) = 0$$

Hence

$$z^m \mid p(a+z)$$

and

$$(x-a)^m \mid p(a+(x-a)) = p(x)$$

Hence [or, if you want to vary your language, write "thus", "consequently," or "therefore"] the sum of multiplicities cannot exceed the degree of p , or

$$\sum_{a \in S} \text{mult}(p, a) \leq \deg(p)$$

Inductive step

Suppose $n > 1$. Write

$$p(\vec{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^t p_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_n^j \quad (1)$$

where $\deg(p_j) \leq d-j$ and $t \leq d$ is chosen so that $p_t(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \neq 0$.

For any $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in S^{n-1}$, let

$$m_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} = \text{mult}(p_t, (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}))$$

We want to show that

$$\sum_{a_n \in S} \text{mult}(p, (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \leq m_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} \cdot |S| + t \quad (2)$$

If we can prove this, the lemma follows

Assuming (2), we get

$$\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S^n} \text{mult}(p, (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \leq$$

$$\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in S^{n-1}} \sum_{a_n \in S} \text{mult}(p, (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \leq$$

$$\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in S^{n-1}} (m_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} |S| + t) \leq \quad [\text{using (2)}]$$

[using IH]

$$\leq \deg(p_r) \cdot |S|^{n-2} \cdot |S| + t \cdot |S|^{n-1}$$

$$\leq (d - t + t) |S|^{n-1}$$

and the induction step goes through.
So let us prove (2).

Fix $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in S$

Let $\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$ be such

that $\text{wt}(\vec{i}) = m_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}}$ and

$$p_{\vec{i}}^{(\vec{i})}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \neq 0$$

Write $(\vec{i}, 0)$ to denote $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0)$

By using (†) again, we observe that

$$p^{(\vec{i}, 0)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=0}^t p_j^{(\vec{i})}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_n^j \quad (3)$$

and hence, in particular, $p^{(\vec{i}, 0)}$ is
a nonzero polynomial

By Lemma 5 and Corollary 7 we have
that if we look at the line through
 $\vec{c}_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0)$ in direction $\vec{c}_2 = (0, \dots, 0, a_n)$
it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}(p(x_1, \dots, x_n), (a_1, \dots, a_n)) &\leq \quad [\text{Lem 5}] \\ &\leq \text{wt}(\vec{i}, 0) + \text{mult}(p^{(\vec{i}, 0)}(x_1, \dots, x_n), (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \leq \\ &\quad [\text{by construction of } \vec{i}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq m_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} + \text{mult}(p^{(\vec{i}, 0)}(x_1, \dots, x_n), (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \stackrel{\text{IV}}{\leq}$$

$$\left[\text{setting } q(t) = p_{\vec{c}_1, \vec{c}_2}^{(\vec{i}, 0)}(t) = p^{(\vec{i}, 0)}(\vec{c}_1 + t\vec{c}_2) \right]$$

$$\leq m_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} + \text{mult}(q(t), a_n) \quad (4)$$

But by (3), $q(t)$ is a degree- t univariate polynomial, so

$$\sum_{a_n \in S} \text{mult}(q(t), a_n) \leq t. \quad (5)$$

Summing (4) over all $a_n \in S$ and using (5) we obtain

$$\text{mult}(p, \vec{a}) \leq m_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} \cdot |S| + t$$

which is exactly what we wanted to prove. The lemma follows by the induction principle. □

Setting $S = \mathbb{F}_q$, we can state the multiplicities

Schwartz-Zippel lemma as follows.

COROLLARY 9

Let $p \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial of total degree at most d . Then if

$$\sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \text{mult}(p, \vec{a}) > d \cdot q^{n-1}$$

it holds that $p(\vec{x})$ is the identically zero polynomial.

Next time we will use Schwartz-Zippel with multiplicities to prove an almost optimal lower bound on the size of Kakeya sets.

Lower bound on Kakeya set size — the plan

- ① Find a somewhat low-degree polynomial that vanishes on K with high multiplicity
- ② Show that homogeneous part of this polynomial vanishes with fairly high multiplicity everywhere on $\mathbb{F}_q^n$
- ③ Use multiplicities Schwartz-Zippel to derive contradiction

CLAIM A & B

Given a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $m, d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that

$$\binom{m+n-1}{n} |K| < \binom{d+n}{n}$$

there exists a nonzero polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}_q[\vec{x}]$ of total degree $\leq d$ such that $\forall \vec{a} \in K$

$$\text{mult}(p, \vec{a}) \geq m$$

Proof As before

monomial of degree $\leq d$ $\binom{d+n}{n}$

Condition $\text{mult}(p, \vec{a}) \geq m$ imposes $\binom{m+n-1}{n}$ homogeneous linear constraints.

constraints $<$ # unknowns (coefficients of monomials)

$\Downarrow$

$\exists$ nontrivial solution p .

$\square$

We are aiming to prove:

THEOREM If $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ Kakeya set, then $|K| \geq \left(\frac{q}{2^{-1/q}} \right)^n$

Let $\ell = Cq$ for some large constant $C \in \mathbb{N}^+$

Set $m = 2\ell - \ell/q$ (1)

$d = \ell q - 1$ (2)

Meaning of parameters

d : degree of polynomial p (upper bound)

m : multiplicities of zeros of p on K

ℓ : multiplicities of zeros of H_p

We have

IX

$$d < \ell q \quad (3)$$

$$(m-\ell)q > d-\ell \quad (4)$$

From (4) it follows (since $q \geq 1$) that

$$(m-w)q > d-w \text{ for } w \leq \ell \quad (5)$$

We will show

$$|K| \geq \frac{\binom{d+n}{n}}{\binom{m+n-1}{n}} \geq \alpha^n$$

$$\text{for } \alpha \rightarrow \frac{q}{2-1/q} \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty$$

Assume towards contradiction

$$|K| < \frac{\binom{d+n}{n}}{\binom{m+n-1}{n}} \quad (6)$$

By Claim A&B $\exists d^*, 0 < d^* \leq d$,
and $p \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $\deg(p) = d^*$,

such that $\forall \vec{x} \in K \text{ mult}(p, \vec{x}) \geq m$

We have

$$d^* \geq m$$

X

since p nonzero and vanishes to multiplicity $\geq m$ at some point and

$$m \geq \ell$$

by our choice (1), and so

$$d^* \geq \ell \quad (\dagger)$$

Let $H_p(\vec{x})$ homogeneous part of p of degree d^* . Note $H_p(\vec{x}) \neq 0$.

CLAIM C

$$\forall \vec{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \quad \text{mult}(H_p, \vec{b}) \geq \ell$$

Proof Fix $\vec{b}$, $\text{wt}(\vec{b}) = w \leq \ell - 1$ (so we can apply (5)).

$$\text{Let } q(\vec{x}) = p^{\vec{b}}(\vec{x})$$

$$\text{Let } d' := \deg(q(\vec{x})) \leq d^* - w.$$

$$\text{Fix } \vec{a} = \vec{a}(\vec{b}) \text{ s.t. } \{\vec{a} + t\vec{b} \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \subseteq K.$$

$$\text{By Lem 5 } \text{mult}(q, \vec{a} + t\vec{b}) \geq m - w$$

Applying (5) we have

$$(m - w)q \geq d^* - w \geq d'$$

$$\text{Let } q_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}(t) = q(\vec{a} + t\vec{b})$$

$q_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$ univariate of degree $\leq d'$

By Cor 7

$q_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$ vanishes at each point of H_q with multiplicity $m-w$

Since

$$(m-w)q > d^* - w \geq \deg(q_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}(t))$$

we have $q_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}(t)$ is identically zero

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^{d'} c_i t^i$$

$\forall, c_i = 0$. In particular, $c_{d'} = 0$.

Let $H_q(\vec{x})$ be homogeneous part of q of highest degree. Then (as

before) $c_{d'} = q(\vec{b})$

$$\text{Hence } q(\vec{b}) = 0$$

Now if $(H_p)^{(\vec{b})}(\vec{x}) = 0$ then clearly in particular $(H_p)^{(\vec{b})}(\vec{b}) = 0$. Otherwise by Prop 3(3)

$$(H_p)^{(\vec{b})}(\vec{b}) = (H_q)(\vec{b}) = 0$$

Since this is true for all $\vec{r}$ of weight $\text{wt}(\vec{r}) \leq \ell-1$, it follows that

$\forall \vec{r} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \quad \text{mult}(H_p, \vec{r}) = \ell$
as claimed □

It follows that (6) cannot hold, and so

$$|K| \geq \frac{\binom{d+n}{n}}{\binom{m+n-1}{n}}$$

By the way we set the parameters (in 1.3.8(2))

$$\frac{\binom{d+n}{n}}{\binom{m+n-1}{n}} = \frac{\binom{\ell q - 1 + n}{n}}{\binom{2\ell - \ell/q + n - 1}{n}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\ell q - 1 + i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (2\ell - \ell/q - 1 + i)}$$

But this is true for all $\ell = Cq$ for arbitrarily large C (and q)

Hence

$$|K| \geq \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \ell(q - 1/\ell - i/\ell)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \ell(2 - 1/q - 1/\ell + i/\ell)}$$

$$= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (q - 1/\ell - i/\ell)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (2 - 1/q - 1/\ell + i/\ell)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{q}{2 - 1/q} \right)^n$$

which is what we wanted to prove. The theorem follows (Yay!).