MSc

DD2442 Proof Complexity Course Poll November 14

Please circle your answers (and please try to give integral scores).

1. What do you think about the **material in lectures 9-16 (Ilario's lectures on k-DNF resolution and bounded-depth Frege, but not today's lecture by Johan)?** 5 = very interesting; 4 = fairly interesting; 3 = neutral; 2 = not very interesting; 1 = not at all interesting.

1 2 3 4 5

2. What do you think about the **quality of the presentation in Ilario's lectures 9-16?** 5 = very good; 4 = fairly good; 3 = OK/neutral; 2= fairly bad; 1 = very bad.

1 2 3 4 5

3. What do you think about the **speed of the lectures 9-16?** 5 = much too fast; 4 = a bit too fast; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too slow; 1 = much too slow.

1 2 3 4 5

4. What do you think about the **level of difficulty of the problems on the second problem set?** 5 = much too hard; 4 = a bit too hard; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too easy; 1 = much too easy.

1 2 3 4 5

5. What do you think about the **peer evaluation of problem sets 1 and 2** (i.e., when you graded someone else's solutions)? Have these been useful exercises for you? 5 = very useful; 4 = fairly useful; 3 = neutral as to the value; 2 = not very useful; 1 =not useful at all.

1 2 3 4 5

6. What do you think about the **Piazza discussions of problem sets 1 and 2?** Have these been useful for you? 5 = very useful; 4 = fairly useful; 3 = neutral as to the value; 2 = not very useful; 1 =not useful at all.

1 2 3 4 5

7. What is your **personal rating of the course so far?** 5 = clearly above expectations; 4 = somewhat above expectations; 3 = neutral; 2 = somewhat below expectations; 1 = clearly below expectations.

1 2 3 4 5

8. I am a

Master's or Bachelor's student PhD student or PhD

9. Do you have any **comments, criticisms or suggestions for improvement regarding the peer evaluation process** or **any other comments or questions?** (Please continue on the back if you want additional space.)

PhD

DD2442 Proof Complexity Course Poll November 14

Please circle your answers (and please try to give integral scores).

1. What do you think about the **material in lectures 9-16 (Ilario's lectures on k-DNF resolution and bounded-depth Frege, but not today's lecture by Johan)?** 5 = very interesting; 4 = fairly interesting; 3 = neutral; 2 = not very interesting; 1 = not at all interesting.

1 2 3 4 5

2. What do you think about the **quality of the presentation in Ilario's lectures 9-16?** 5 = very good; 4 = fairly good; 3 = OK/neutral; 2= fairly bad; 1 = very bad.

1 2 3 4 5

3. What do you think about the **speed of the lectures 9-16?** 5 = much too fast; 4 = a bit too fast; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too slow; 1 = much too slow.

1 2 3 4 5

4. What do you think about the **level of difficulty of the problems on the second problem set?** 5 = much too hard; 4 = a bit too hard; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too easy; 1 = much too easy.

1 2 3 4 5

5. What do you think about the **peer evaluation of problem sets 1 and 2** (i.e., when you graded someone else's solutions)? Have these been useful exercises for you? 5 = very useful; 4 = fairly useful; 3 = neutral as to the value; 2 = not very useful; 1 =not useful at all.

1 2 3 4 5

6. What do you think about the **Piazza discussions of problem sets 1 and 2?** Have these been useful for you? 5 = very useful; 4 = fairly useful; 3 = neutral as to the value; 2 = not very useful; 1 =not useful at all.

1 2 3 4 5

7. What is your **personal rating of the course so far?** 5 = clearly above expectations; 4 = somewhat above expectations; 3 = neutral; 2 = somewhat below expectations; 1 = clearly below expectations.

1 2 3 4 5

8. I am a

Master's or Bachelor's student PhD student or PhD

9. Do you have any **comments, criticisms or suggestions for improvement regarding the peer evaluation process** or **any other comments or questions?** (Please continue on the back if you want additional space.)

MSc comments

Nov 14

I think the Piazza discussions could be really great, but no-one is writing anything! :(
Don't know what to do about it, though...

PhD comments

Presentation of lectures 9-16: Broken up well with good notes, but relatively unengaging lectures

Problem difficulty: Very time-consuming, but few juicy problems (challenging and interesting)
Less ontuition, please

More MSc comments

Peer evaluation works well — can be a bit hard to grade problems you didn't solve (but a good exercise)