

DD2445 Complexity Theory Course Poll October 9

Please circle your answers.

1. What do you think about the **material in the guest lectures 5-6** with Johan Håstad? 5 = very interesting; 4 = fairly interesting; 3 = neutral; 2 = not very interesting; 1 = not at all interesting.

1	2	3	4	5
		I	IIII	II

2. What do you think about the **quality of the presentation in the guest lectures 5-6**? 5 = very good; 4 = fairly good; 3 = OK/neutral; 2= fairly bad; 1 = very bad.

1 2 3 4 5

3. What do you think about the **speed of the guest lectures 5-6**? 5 = much too fast; 4 = a bit too fast; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too slow; 1 = much too slow.

1 2 3 4 5

4. What do you think about the material in lectures 7-10 (i.e., everything after the guest lectures)?
5 = very interesting; 4 = fairly interesting; 3 = neutral; 2 = not very interesting; 1 = not at all interesting.

1 2 3 4 5

5. What do you think about the **quality of the presentation in lectures 7-10**? 5 = very good; 4 = fairly good; 3 = OK/neutral; 2= fairly bad; 1 = very bad.

1 2 3 4 5

6. What do you think about the **speed of the lectures 7-10**? 5 = much too fast; 4 = a bit too fast; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too slow; 1 = much too slow.

1 2 3 4 5

7. Do you feel that you have received enough **information to understand what will happen during the peer evaluation phase**? 5 = very good info; 4 = fairly good info; 3 = neutral; 2 = fairly bad info; 1 = very bad info.

1 2 3 4 5

8 lama

A horizontal timeline with five numbered points (1 to 5) and a label '8 lama' below it. There are blue vertical lines at points 3, 4, and 5.

8. I am a

Master's or Bachelor's student

PhD student or PhD

9. **Any other comments or questions?** Anything that got better or worse since the last course poll? Anything else? (Please continue on the back if you need additional space.)

PhD

DD2445 Complexity Theory Course Poll October 9

Please circle your answers.

1. What do you think about the **material in the guest lectures 5-6** with Johan Håstad? 5 = very interesting; 4 = fairly interesting; 3 = neutral; 2 = not very interesting; 1 = not at all interesting.

1 2 3 4 5

2. What do you think about the **quality of the presentation in the guest lectures 5-6**? 5 = very good; 4 = fairly good; 3 = OK/neutral; 2 = fairly bad; 1 = very bad.

1 2 3 4 5

3. What do you think about the **speed of the guest lectures 5-6**? 5 = much too fast; 4 = a bit too fast; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too slow; 1 = much too slow.

1 2 3 4 5

4. What do you think about the **material in lectures 7-10 (i.e., everything after the guest lectures)**? 5 = very interesting; 4 = fairly interesting; 3 = neutral; 2 = not very interesting; 1 = not at all interesting.

1 2 3 4 5

5. What do you think about the **quality of the presentation in lectures 7-10**? 5 = very good; 4 = fairly good; 3 = OK/neutral; 2 = fairly bad; 1 = very bad.

1 2 3 4 5

6. What do you think about the **speed of the lectures 7-10**? 5 = much too fast; 4 = a bit too fast; 3 = about right; 2 = a bit too slow; 1 = much too slow.

1 2 3 4 5

7. Do you feel that you have received enough **information to understand what will happen during the peer evaluation phase**? 5 = very good info; 4 = fairly good info; 3 = neutral; 2 = fairly bad info; 1 = very bad info.

1 2 3 4 5

8. I am a

Master's or Bachelor's student

PhD student or PhD

9. **Any other comments or questions?** Anything that got better or worse since the last course poll? Anything else? (Please continue on the back if you need additional space.)

BSc and MSc student comments

Guest lecture: first lecture really interesting, second lecture too special and it is hard to follow proofs using probabilities without understanding why we can use it (i.e. probability $\gg 50\%$ is not mathematically proven even if we get 100% as $n \rightarrow \infty$??)

Good that lecture notes are handed out beforehand on lectures.

I really like that you've started handing out lecture notes, very helpful!

Most fun course so far 😊

We have to use LaTeX, why do you use (bad) handwriting for the notes?

Your lectures have pretty varying quality (today was good, others were bad)

The extra value of the lectures are the explanations. If you skip them too often ("... read that in Arora-Barak ..."), you make yourself unnecessary.

PhD student comments

None.