


Please circle your answers.

1 2 3 4 5



1 2 3 4 5

1 2 3 4 5

1 2 3 4 5

1 2 3 4 5

1 2 3 4 5

8. **Any other comments or questions?** Anything that got better or worse since the last course poll? Anything else? (Please continue on the back if you want additional space.)

Free-text comments in DD2446 course poll October 3

I think this problem set [no 2] was harder than the first one. The first one was on a better level. Some questions were very open. I think it is very good with repetition of last lecture's content.

So far I find crypto/ZKP/PCP much more interesting than Boolean circuits. Boolean circuits seem to require a lot of work for fairly weak theorems. But maybe I'm just not getting the point yet.

The peer evaluation process should be double-blind.
Don't spend too much time on repetition.

You seem to like polls, don't you? ;-)
I believe giving us some fundamental exercises with connection(!) covering the fundamental ideas of the course would be really helpful. It would give us a slight boost to get started with the problem sets.

I don't want peer evaluation to extend into the following problem sets.
The course is a lot heavier than I first imagined. I don't know if that is good or bad.
I don't think awarding bonus points for correct solutions on Piazza encourages discussions. I only saw a couple of people posting their own solutions. What I'm trying to say is that I don't like the fact that those who are sure of their solutions get awarded with more points.

Maybe some notes regarding the peer evaluation you did and how well it was performed [suggestion for improvement of peer evaluation process].

Too much on switching lemma [comment on material in lectures 7-12].
The pace of the course is **very** high!!

Would probably be more useful if there was more time to evaluate course material [suggestion for improvement of peer evaluation process].
A bit too much material or not enough time.