DD2446 Complexity Theory Course Poll October 15

			as this a useful exercise = not very useful; 1 =no	
1	2)))) ³	14++*	5
evaluation process?		or suggestions for in the change	nprovement regarding s between psets 1 and 2	
			bildning/kth/kurser/DE 2 = fairly bad; 1 = very	
1	2	3	4	5 UH 11
4. How often have y	you referred to the c	ourse webpage to fir	nd information that you	need?
	A few times per week //// nion about the use of fairly bad; 1 = very ba	Piazza on this course	A few times during the course /// e? 5 = very good; 4 = fai	Almost not at all rly good;
1 6. How often have	2 / you used Piazza to fi	3 / nd information that y	4 ////////////////////////////////////	5 tions?
Almost daily // 7. Do you have any	A few times per week HH comments when it c	Maybe once per week // omes to preference f	A few times during the course for Piazza vs. other tool	Almost not at all s such as KTH
	tinue on the back if y			

8. **Any other comments or questions?** Anything that got better or worse since the last course poll? Anything else? (Please continue on the back if you need additional space.)

Answers to some questions in DD2446 course poll October 15

Question 2: Do you have any **comments, criticisms or suggestions for improvement regarding the peer evaluation process?** And what do you think about the changes between psets 1 and 2?

Pset 2 was harder. Perhaps it would be better for me to learn more if the harder problems were not so "open". It would be easier to think/approach the problems if they were split up a bit into a,b,c questions. I think I would learn more because it would be a bit easier to approach the problem.

Few solutions => hard to guarantee ½ correctly judged.

It's annoying to have peer evaluation at the same time as the next problem set.

Rules are better this way. It rewards every contribution, which is more fair in my opinion.

The idea is great in principle. The problem is that it is too time consuming.

Question 7: Do you have any comments when it comes to preference for **Piazza vs. other** tools such as KTH Social?

Piazza is good, probably better than KTH Social.

Please stay away from KTH Social. KTH Social is an unacceptable option.

Piazza is better than other similar tools used at KTH, especially when it comes to posting math formulas.

Math: better possibilities. A bit buggy, though.

All these tools have something they got wrong. Stick with using one of them (any).

Piazza works better than other similar tools I have tested.

KTH Social = unpractical. Piazza = nice features, but no "sectioning" which makes it hard to find specific entries. Besides the interface is somewhat bloated. The formatting possibilities are quite limited. A wiki page (e.g. MediaWiki) could be more appropriate (more flexible, more possibilities, light weight...).

Piazza is great with the LaTeX support. Quite necessary if we are to discuss mathematical problems.

Question 8: Any other comments or questions? Anything that got better or worse since the last course poll? Anything else?

Good with notes, can prepare a bit before lectures and as reference when solving psets.

I think the course would benefit from being larger or just having a larger time span, e.g. 2

periods.