

LAST TIME

Circuit subclasses of P/poly

One-line summary: Even for seemingly weak classes, proving lower bounds appears ridiculously hard...
But proved superpoly lower bound for AC^0

LATEST NEWS

- Poll about future deadlines - please vote ASAP
 - Pset 2 out. Deadline: see above.
 - Peer evaluation of pset 1 due on Thursday
- Questions?

TODAY

Randomness as a computational resource

Lots of deep questions here - see Chap 8

We'll cut to the chase: study TMs that can flip fair random coins

DEF 1 Probabilistic Turing machine PTM

Turing machine with two transition functions δ_0, δ_1

In each step, apply δ_0 w prob $1/2$ and δ_1 w prob $1/2$.

$M(x)$ = output of M on x w random variable

PTM runs in $T(n)$ -time if $\forall x$ halts in $\leq T(|x|)$ steps regardless of random choices

Similar to NDTM

- NDTM: accepts if $\exists$ one (out of exponentially many) accepting branch.
- PTM: look at fraction of accepting branches

| 28 |

For language $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ and $x \in \{0,1\}^*$, define

$$L(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in L \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin L \end{cases}$$

Our (main) model for efficient probabilistic/randomized computation:
BPP bounded-error probabilistic polynomial time

DEF 2 A PTM M decides L in Time $T(n)$ if $\forall x$
 M halts in $T(|x|)$ steps and $\Pr[M(x) = L(x)] \geq 2/3$.

$BPTIME(T(n)) = \text{languages decided by PTMs in } O(T(n)) \text{ time}$
 $BPP = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{N}^+} BPTIME(n^c)$

Probabilizing over random choices, not over input

Constant $2/3$ arbitrary (will see later)

Don't need perfectly fair coins (but we'll ignore this)

PROB 3 $L \in BPP$ if exist poly-time (deterministic) TM M
and polynomial p s.t. for every x

$$\Pr_{r \in_R \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)}} [M(x, r) = L(x)] \geq 2/3$$

Notational aside

Uniform sampling from $\{0,1\}^n$:
 $x \in_R \{0,1\}^n$
 $x \sim \{0,1\}^n$
 $x \sim U_n$

COR 4 $P \subseteq BPP \subseteq EXP$

Proof Can try all possible random strings in exponential time
and compute success probability

Can't prove even $BPP \neq NEXP$

What about BPP vs P ?

Fairly strong reasons to believe $P = BPP$!

[Discussed in Chs 19-20 in Arora-Barak - we won't have time to cover this.]

Example of the power of randomization

POLYNOMIAL IDENTITY TESTING

Given: polynomial (multivariate) with integer coeff.

In implicit form

Decide: Is the polynomial identically zero?

Representation algebraic circuit

Like Boolean circuits, but gates are $+$, $-$, $\times$

Can also have constants $0, 1, \dots$ if we wish

Inputs $x_1, \dots, x_n$

Single output node (sink)

Not hard to see: computes some polynomial

$ZEROP = \{ \text{algebraic circuits corresponding to polynomials that are identically zero} \}$

Why identity testing?

Given C, C' , construct $D = C - C'$
and check if $D \in ZEROP$.

Note compact representation

$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i)$ has 2^n terms
circuit of size $2n$

SCHWARTZ-ZIPPEL LEMMA

Let $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ be non-zero poly of total degree $\leq d$. Let S finite set of integers. Then for $a_1, \dots, a_m$ chosen from S uniformly randomly with replacements

$$Pr[p(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0] \geq 1 - \frac{d}{|S|}$$

Proof Induction over m .

Base case $m=1$: Univariate polynomial Degree $\leq d \Rightarrow$ at most d roots

So p can evaluate to zero on at most d out of $|S|$ integers.

Inductive step See Aaron-Burak App A.6

TESTING IDEA

Circuit of size $m \Rightarrow \leq m$ multiplications
 $\Rightarrow$ degree $\leq 2^m$

So pick $a_1, \dots, a_m \in [1, 10 \cdot 2^m]$, evaluate circuit, and apply Schwartz-Zippel

If circuit C encodes zero poly $\Rightarrow$ result always 0
 if non-zero poly $\Rightarrow$ 90% of non-zero output

Problem If degree $\approx 2^m$, then numbers grow as large as $(10 \cdot 2^m)^{2^m} \Rightarrow$ exponentially many bits.

Hard to do in poly time...

Solution "fingerprinting" compute modulo $k \in [2^{2^m}]$

Computing modulo k
 After each operation, divide by k
 and take remainder

28: V

Suppose $y = C(a_1, \dots, a_m)$

If $y = 0$, then $y = 0 \pmod{k}$

Claim 5
 If $y \neq 0$, then randomly chosen $k \in [2^{2m}]$
 will not divide y with prob $\geq \delta = \frac{1}{4m}$

Given this claim, run test $O(m)$ times
 and accept only if always get 0 output

Proof of Claim 5

Assume $y \neq 0$. $y \leq (10 \cdot 2^m)^{2m}$

Let B = prime factors of y .

Sufficient to show that with prob $\geq \delta$ k is
 a prime not in B

y has at most $\log y \leq 5m \cdot 2^m$ prime factors

By Prime Number Theorem constant is actually 1 (*)

$$\# \text{ primes} \leq N \sim \frac{N}{\ln N}$$

$$\# \text{ primes} \leq 2^{2m} \sim \frac{2^{2m}}{2m} > \frac{2^{2m}}{4m} \text{ for large enough } m$$

$$5m \cdot 2^m = o\left(\frac{2^{2m}}{2m}\right) < \frac{2^{2m}}{8m} \text{ for large enough } m$$

$$\Pr[k \text{ prime not in } B] \geq \frac{2^{2m}/8m}{2^{2m}} = \frac{1}{8m}$$

*) See Thm A.23 in Arora-Barak
 for sufficient condition

QED

Many natural randomized algorithms have one-sided errors

Might make mistake when $x \in L$ but never when $x \notin L$ or the other way round
(we just saw one such example)

DEF 6 $RTIME(T(n))$ contains every language L for which $\exists$ PTM M running in time $O(T(n))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L &\Rightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 2/3 \\ x \notin L &\Rightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 0] = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$RP = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{N}^+} RTIME(n^c)$$

OBS 7 $RP \subseteq NP$

Pf Every accepting branch is a certificate.

Don't know if $BPP \subseteq NP$.

RP : "Never false positives"

$coRP = \{L \mid \bar{L} \in RP\}$ "Never false negatives"

Given general PTM M , can define random variable

$T_{M,x}$ = running time of M on x .

Take expectation of this random variable

$$E[T_{M,x}] = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t \cdot \Pr[T_{M,x} = t]$$

Say M has expected running time $T(n)$ if

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^* \quad E[T_{M,x}] \leq T(|x|)$$

DEF 8 $ZTIME(T(n))$ contains all languages

LS VII

L for which $\exists$ PTM M that runs in expected time $O(T(n))$ such that

$$\Pr [M(x) = L(x) \mid M \text{ halts}] = 1$$

$$ZPP = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{N}^+} ZTIME(n^c)$$

"Zero-sided error"

ZPP zero-error probabilistic polynomial time

THM 9 $ZPP = RP \cap coRP$

Proof Exercise.

Also immediately clear from def

$$RP \subseteq BPP$$

$$coRP \subseteq BPP$$

ROBUSTNESS OF DEFINITIONS

- (a) Error probability: constant $2/3$ arbitrary
- (b) Can use expected running time instead of worst case
- (c) can use biased coins
- (d) Can even use imperfect random sources ("weak random sources")

Will show (a) — see Sec 7.4 for the rest

LEMMA 10For $c > 0$ constant, let

| 28 VIII

$BPP_{1/2+n^{-c}}$ denote class of languages L for which $\exists$ poly-time PTM M s.t. $\forall x \in \{0,1\}^*$

$$\Pr [M(x) = L(x)] \geq \frac{1}{2} + |x|^{-c}$$

Then $BPP_{1/2+n^{-c}} = BPP$.

Need to show: can go from success prob $\frac{1}{2} + n^{-c}$ to $2/3$.

Show sth stronger: can go to $1 - 2^{-nd}$ exponentially small failure prob.

THEM 11 (ERROR REDUCTION FOR BPP)

Suppose $\exists$ poly-time PTM M for L s.t.

$$\forall x \quad \Pr [M(x) = L(x)] \geq \frac{1}{2} + |x|^{-c}.$$

Then $\forall d > 0 \exists$ poly-time PTM M' s.t.

$$\forall x \quad \Pr [M'(x) = L(x)] \geq 1 - 2^{-|x|^d}$$

Proof

M' runs M for $k = 8|x|^{2c+d}$ times, collects answers, and takes majority vote.

How confident can we be that this is correct?
Use material from App A.2.1 & A.2.4

Let $X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i\text{th run of } M \text{ gets } x \text{ right} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\Pr [X_i = 1] = p \text{ for } p \geq \frac{1}{2} + |x|^{-c} \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{suppose} \\ p = \frac{1}{2} + |x|^{-c} \\ \text{for simplicity} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right] = kp = \underbrace{\frac{8|x|^{2c+d}}{2}}_{\text{half}} + \underbrace{8|x|^{c+d}}_{\text{margin}}$$

"If you repeat independent trials sufficiently many times, you will get very close to expected value with very high probability"

LEMMA 12 (CHERNOFF BOUND) deviation from expected value

$$\Pr \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_i - pk \right| > \delta pk \right] < \exp \left(-\frac{\delta^2}{4} pk \right)$$

Plug in $p = \frac{1}{2} + |x|^{-c}$

$$\delta = |x|^c / 2$$

We will be correct unless $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i < pk - \delta pk$.
That probability is bounded by

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{4|x|^{2c}} \cdot \frac{8|x|^{2c+d}}{2} \right) = \exp(-|x|^d) < 2^{-|x|^d} \quad [4]$$

Relationship between BPP and other classes?

THM 12 $BPP \subseteq P/poly$

THM 13 $BPP \subseteq \Sigma_2^P \cap \Pi_2^P (\subseteq PHE)$

Both proofs use error reduction in Thm 11 plus some other ideas.

Proof of Thm 13 is extremely neat...

But will have to skip it due to time constraints.

Try to sketch proof of Thm 12

Proof of Thm 12

LP: I

If $L \in BPP$, then by Thm 11 (and Prop 3)

$\exists$ PTM M that on input size n

- uses m ^{random} bits
- gets answer right except with prob $2^{-(n+1)}$

Let r be the random bits

Say r bad for x if $M(x, r) \neq L(x)$

For every x , M succeeds with prob $\geq 1 - 2^{-(n+1)}$

$\Rightarrow$ out of 2^m random strings, $\leq 2^m / 2^{n+1}$ bad for x .

$$\begin{aligned} |\{r / r \text{ bad for some } x\}| &\leq \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |\{r / r \text{ bad for this } x\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} 2^n \cdot \frac{2^m}{2^{n+1}} = 2^m / 2 \end{aligned}$$

But this means that there is at least one random string $r^* \in \{0,1\}^m$ (in fact, at least half)

that are good for all $x \in \{0,1\}^n$

Run M with this r^* as advice!

Checking Thm 11 again, r^* will have poly size.

What about complete problems for BPP? L8: XI

Typical complete problems

$\exists$ TM of correct type running with
resource bound such-and-such

"Correct type": $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{DTM} - \text{easy to check} \\ \text{NDTM} - \text{easy to check} \end{array} \right\} \text{syntactic}$

BPP-style: accept x with prob $\geq 2/3$
or prob $\leq 1/3$
but not in between

Undecidable to check

Hierarchy theorems?

Fail for similar reasons.

A final useful notion: Randomized reductions

DEF 14 Language B reduces to language C under
randomized reductions, denoted $B \leq_r C$,

if $\exists$ PTM M s.t.

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^* \quad \Pr [C(M(x)) = B(x)] \geq 2/3$$

Not transitive

But if $C \in \text{BPP}$ and $B \leq_r C$ then $B \in \text{BPP}$

Could have defined NP in terms of randomized
reductions instead (if BPP better formalization
of "efficient computation")

L8: XII

$$NP = \{L \mid L \leq_p 3\text{-SAT}\}$$

DEF 15

$$BP \cdot NP = \{L \mid L \leq_r 3\text{-SAT}\}$$