

# On the Approximation Resistance of a Random Predicate

Johan Håstad



**KTH Numerical Analysis  
and Computer Science**

August 20, 2007

Constraint Satisfaction Problems where each input is a bit.

Same predicate appears  $P$  in all constraints.

A  $k$ -ary predicate  $P$  that accepts  $t$  of the  $2^k$  inputs.

# Examples of CSPs

**k-Sat** Disjunctions of  $k$  literals,  $t = 2^k - 1$ .

**k-Lin** Linear equations with  $k$  variables in each equation,  
 $t = 2^{k-1}$ .

**Subspace**  $\ell$  dimensional subspace of  $k$  dimension,  $t = 2^\ell$ .

Please note that negations are allowed for free, and so are permutations of the inputs.

We get families of equivalent predicates.

Finding optimal solution is almost always, [S78], NP-complete and we are interested in approximation problem.

**Max-CSP:** Find the assignment that satisfies that maximum number of constraints.

Finding optimal solution is almost always, [S78], NP-complete and we are interested in approximation problem.

**Max-CSP:** Find the assignment that satisfies that maximum number of constraints.

Given a list of  $m$   $k$ -tuples of literals find an assignment that makes as many as possible of the resulting  $k$ -tuples of bits satisfy  $P$ .

An algorithm has approximation ratio  $\alpha$  if for any instance

$$\frac{\text{Value of found solution}}{\text{Value of optimal solution}} \geq \alpha$$

For randomized algorithms, expectation over internal coinflips, always **worst case inputs**.

It is easy to approximate Max- $P$  within  $t2^{-k}$ .

A random assignment satisfies on the average  $t2^{-k}m$  constraints and this can be found deterministically.

It is easy to approximate Max- $P$  within  $t2^{-k}$ .

A random assignment satisfies on the average  $t2^{-k}m$  constraints and this can be found deterministically.

The trivial approximation ratio.

A predicate  $P$  is **approximation resistant** if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  it is hard to approximate  $\max\text{-CSP}(P)$  within  $\epsilon + t2^{-k}$ .

A predicate  $P$  is **approximation resistant** if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  it is hard to approximate  $\max\text{-CSP}(P)$  within  $\epsilon + t2^{-k}$ .

A predicate  $P$  is **approximation resistant on satisfiable instances** if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  it is hard distinguish instances where we can satisfy all constraints from those where we can only satisfy a fraction  $\epsilon + t2^{-k}$  of the constraints.

A predicates  $P$  is **hereditary approximation resistant** if whenever  $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$  then  $Q$  is also approximation resistant.

Approximation resistance on satisfiable instances is possibly the ultimate hardness for a CSP.

Efficient computation cannot tell whether we can satisfy all constraints or only the fraction obtained by a random assignment.

Approximation resistance on satisfiable instances is possibly the ultimate hardness for a CSP.

Efficient computation cannot tell whether we can satisfy all constraints or only the fraction obtained by a random assignment.

Are there such predicates?

Constraints on two variables ,  $k = 2$ .

Semidefinite programming [GW95] shows that there are no approximation resistant predicates on two binary variables.

Constraints on two variables ,  $k = 2$ .

Semidefinite programming [GW95] shows that there are no approximation resistant predicates on two binary variables.

Extends to all domains sizes [H05] and binary constraints.

# The case $k = 3$

Max-3-Lin is hereditary approximation resistant [H01], and this gives all approximation resistant predicates [Z98] on three inputs.

# The case $k = 3$

Max-3-Lin is hereditary approximation resistant [H01], and this gives all approximation resistant predicates [Z98] on three inputs.

Max-3-Sat is approximation resistant on satisfiable instances. What happens for the “not two ones predicate” on satisfiable instances?

# The case $k = 4$

Partial classification by Hast [H05].

400 essentially different predicates.

- 79 approximation resistant.
- 275 not approximation resistant.
- 46 not classified.

| # Acc   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|---------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Non-res | 1 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 27 | 50 | 50 | 52 | 27 | 26 | 9  | 3  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| Res     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 16 | 6  | 22 | 11 | 15 | 4  | 4  | 1  |
| Unkn    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6  | 6  | 23 | 2  | 7  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |

Satisfiability ignored.

How common is approximation resistance?

Can we find big classes of approximation resistant predicates?

What about a random predicate?

A random predicate from space  $R_{p,k}$  accepts each input with probability  $p$  (and has  $t \approx p2^k$ ).

Is a random predicate approximation resistant for  $p = 1/2$ ?

A predicate given by a subspace of dimension  $l_1 + l_2$  with  $k = l_1 + l_2 + l_1 l_2$ .

Showed to be approximation resistant by Samorodnitsky and Trevisan [ST00] and hereditary so by Hast [H05].

A predicate given by a subspace of dimension  $l_1 + l_2$  with  $k = l_1 + l_2 + l_1 l_2$ .

Showed to be approximation resistant by Samorodnitsky and Trevisan [ST00] and hereditary so by Hast [H05].

Gives many approximation resistant predicates but does not apply to a random predicate.

A predicate given by a subspace of dimension  $d$  with  $2^{d-1} < k \leq 2^d - 1$ .

Assuming the Unique Games Conjecture (UGC) showed to be approximation resistant by Samorodnitsky and Trevisan [ST05].

# Unique Games Conjecture

Made by Khot [K02], a binary CSP over a large alphabet  $L$ .

Problem: Distinguish instances where we can satisfy fraction  $1 - \epsilon$  from those where we can only satisfy fraction  $\delta$ .

# Unique Games Conjecture

Made by Khot [K02], a binary CSP over a large alphabet  $L$ .

Problem: Distinguish instances where we can satisfy fraction  $1 - \epsilon$  from those where we can only satisfy fraction  $\delta$ .

Conjecture:  $\forall \epsilon, \delta > 0$  there  $\exists$  alphabet size  $L$  for which the problem is NP-complete, and constraints are “unique”.

# Unique Games Conjecture

Made by Khot [K02], a binary CSP over a large alphabet  $L$ .

Problem: Distinguish instances where we can satisfy fraction  $1 - \epsilon$  from those where we can only satisfy fraction  $\delta$ .

Conjecture:  $\forall \epsilon, \delta > 0$  there  $\exists$  alphabet size  $L$  for which the problem is NP-complete, and constraints are “unique”.

A very open conjecture.

Theorem: Assuming the unique games conjecture a random predicate from  $R_{1/2,k}$  is with high probability, for sufficiently large  $k$ , approximation resistant.

Extends to  $p = k^{-c}$  for  $1/2 \leq c \leq 1$ ,  $c \approx k2^{-d}$ .

Assuming UGC  $P_{ST}^2$  is **hereditary** approximation resistant.

Extending the proof of Samorodnitsky and Trevisan.

Lemma: For  $S \subseteq [d]$  functions  $f_S$  such that

- One function (almost) unbiased,  $|E[f_S(x)]| \leq \delta$ .
- No two functions have high common influence,  $\max(\text{inf}_i(f_{S_1}), \text{inf}_i(f_{S_2})) \leq \epsilon$ .

$$\left| E_{x_1 \dots x_d} \left[ \prod_{S \subseteq [d]} f_S \left( \prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \right] \right| \leq \delta + (2^d - 2)\sqrt{\epsilon},$$

i.e. Gowers uniformity norm is small.

Lemma: For  $S \subseteq [d]$  functions  $f_S$  such that

- One function (almost) unbiased,  $|E[f_S(x)]| \leq \delta$ .
- No two functions have high common influence,  $\max(\text{inf}_i(f_{S_1}), \text{inf}_i(f_{S_2})) \leq \epsilon$ .

$$\left| E_{x_1 \dots x_d} \left[ \prod_{S \subseteq [d]} f_S \left( \prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \right] \right| \leq \delta + (2^d - 2)\sqrt{\epsilon},$$

i.e. Gowers uniformity norm is small.

New simpler more direct proof compared to [ST05].

Prove that if  $Q$  is random from  $R_{1/2,k}$  then it is likely that there is a  $P_{ST}^2$ -equivalent predicate  $P'$  such that  $P' \Rightarrow Q$ .

Second moment method using only  $P_{ST}^2$ -equivalent predicates that are very different.

- Approximation resistance is a very strong notion of hardness.
- If the Unique Games Conjecture is true then a vast majority of predicates are approximation resistant.

- 1 Prove result without the unique games conjecture.
- 2 Prove approximation resistance on satisfiable instances.
- 3 Classify more predicates with respect to approximation resistance.